arXiv:1101.0180v4 [math.DG] 19 Sep 2012

GEOMETRY OF ORBIT SPACES OF PROPER LIE GROUPOIDS

M.J. PFLAUM, H. POSTHUMA, AND X. TANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study geometric properties of quotient spaces of proper Lie groupoids. First, we construct a natural stratification on such spaces using an extension of the slice theorem for proper Lie groupoids of Weinstein and Zung. Next, we show the existence of an appropriate metric on the groupoid which gives the associated Lie algebroid the structure of a singular riemannian foliation. With this metric, the orbit space inherits a natural length space structure whose properties are studied. Moreover, we show that the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid can be triangulated. Finally, we prove a de Rham theorem for the complex of basic differential forms on a proper Lie groupoid.

1. Introduction

Proper Lie groupoids are natural generalizations of proper Lie group actions on manifolds. They appear naturally in foliation theory, cf. [Mol1, MoMr], and also Poisson geometry cf. [Wei02]. Orbit spaces of proper Lie group actions and their stratification theory are well studied in the mathematical literature (cf. [Pfl01a] and references therein). However, much less is known about the quotient spaces - sometimes called "coarse moduli spaces" - of general proper Lie groupoids. The goal of this paper is to fill this gap. Interestingly, some of our results seem to be new even in the case of a proper Lie group action.

We first study the stratification theory of such quotient spaces. In principle, Zung's linearization theorem [Zun] for proper Lie groupoids gives a powerful tool to address this question, but it requires the groupoid to be source locally trivial and to have orbits of finite type. Under these assumptions Dragulete, cf. [Dra], proved that the quotient space is indeed a Whitney stratified space. However these conditions seem unnatural and impose some restrictions on the applicability of such a theorem as there are examples of proper Lie groupoids which do not satisfy the "finite type" condition, cf. [Wei02]. Here, we prove a full generalization and show that the quotient space of any proper Lie groupoid carries a canonical Whitney B stratification together with a system of smooth control data. The main ingredient of our proof is a careful study of a neighborhood system of an orbit from which we are able to drop the assumptions of "finite type" and "source locally trivial". Our analysis also shows that even as a stratified space the quotient space of a proper Lie groupoid depends only on the Morita equivalence class of the underlying groupoid.

Next, we study the metric properties of such quotient spaces. For this purpose, we introduce the notion of a "transversally invariant riemannian metric" on a Lie groupoid. In contrast to the case of a manifold with a proper Lie group action, the definition of an invariant metric does not generalize straightforwardly to an arbitrary Lie groupoid, since there is no canonical representation of a Lie groupoid on its tangent bundle. Therefore, a transversally invariant riemannian metric is only required to be invariant with respect to the action of the groupoid on the normal bundle to each orbit, which by contrast is canonically defined.

We prove that any proper Lie groupoid admits a transversally invariant riemannian metric, even a complete one. Among the main consequences of this are the following two results:

- (Proposition 6.4) The unit space of the groupoid carries the structure of a singular riemannian foliation in the sense of Molino [Mol1] with leaves given by the connected components of the orbits. When the groupoid is s-connected, the leaves coincide with the orbits.
- (Theorem 4.1) For any orbit $\mathcal{O}$, there exists a "metric tubular neighborhood" $T^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}}$, controlled by a continuous function $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, over which the groupoid G is isomorphic to its linearization $(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O})_{|T^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}$, where $N\mathcal{O}$ is the normal bundle to $\mathcal{O}$.

The latter theorem is the above mentioned strengthening of the slice theorem of Zung and Weinstein. An important point is that the metric tubular neighborhood need not be invariant. However, combining both results above, we are able to prove that invariant metric tubular neighborhoods exist for a compact orbit in an s-connected proper Lie groupoid. This implies that in such a groupoid, any compact orbit is stable, cf. Proposition 6.7.

In the special case that the quotient space of a proper Lie groupoid happens to be smooth, one easily shows that a transversally invariant riemannian metric descends to the quotient turning the canonical projection into a riemannian submersion. In the general case where the quotient is singular, we use the two results above to define a natural metric on the quotient space of a proper Lie groupoid, and prove that the canonical projection is a submetry. This property implies that the quotient space is a length space, and even an Alexandrov space if the riemannian curvature of the transversally invariant riemannian metric is bounded below.

Using our previous results on the existence of smooth control data for quotient spaces of proper Lie groupoids we succeed to show that these spaces can be triangulated. This result has significant topological consequences. In particular, it entails that the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid has arbitrarily fine good coverings.

Finally, we consider the cohomology of the quotient space. There exists a natural generalization of basic cohomology of foliations to the category of Lie groupoids, which is invariant under Morita equivalence. Using our previous result on the triangulability of the quotient space we prove a de Rham theorem for the basic cohomology of a proper Lie groupoid and show that it is finite dimensional in the compact case. This result can be viewed as an analog of Schwarz's [Schw72] de Rham theorem for a compact orbispace.

This paper is organized as follows. For the convenience of the reader and to explain some notation we recall in Section 2 several basic concepts of the theory of stratified spaces. In Section 3, we recall Zung's linearization theorem for proper Lie groupoids [Zun] and prove some useful local properties of proper Lie groupoids. In particular, we show that every proper Lie groupoid has a transversally invariant riemannian complete riemannian metric η. For a transversally invariant riemannian metric on the underlying proper Lie groupoid we prove in Section 4 a metric tubular neighborhood theorem thus improving the slice theorem for groupoids from [Wei02]. The natural stratification and control structure of the quotient space of a proper Lie groupoid is constructed in Section 5. After that, we show in Section 6 that a transversally invariant riemannian metric on a proper Lie groupoid induces a length space metric on the quotient space such that the quotient map becomes a submetry. Using the stratification and control structure from Section 5 we derive in Section 7 that the quotient space even carries a triangulation. As an application, we discuss in the final Section 8 the basic cohomology of a proper Lie groupoid and prove a de Rham theorem.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Marius Crainic, Rui Fernandes, and Eugene Lerman for helpful discussions. In particular Marius Crainic made extensive comments on the first version of the paper, and shared with us a preliminary version of [CrSt]. Subsequent discussions with him on the stratification theory of orbit spaces helped to improve the paper a lot. We also thank the referee for constructive advice. Finally, X.T. and M.J.P. greatfully acknowledge support by the NSF. Tang's research has been partially supported by NSF grants DMS 0703775 and DMS 0900985, Pflaum's research by NSF grants DMS 1066222 and DMS 1105670.

2. Stratified spaces and smooth structures

We briefly recall the definitions of a stratified space by Mather [Mat73]. Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff space with countable topology.

Definition 2.1. A decomposition of X consists of a locally finite partition $\mathcal{Z}$ of X into locally closed subspaces (called pieces) $S \subset X$ satisfying the following conditions:

(DC1) Every piece S of $\mathcal{Z}$ is a smooth manifold with the induced topology,
(DC2) If $R \cap \overline{S} \neq \varnothing$ for a pair of $R, S \in \mathcal{Z}$, then R is contained in $\overline{S}$. R is called incident to S and this is written as $R \leq S$.

In this definition, we allow manifolds to have connected components of different dimensions. Although this could be avoided in the rest of the paper by refining partitions in each step by taking connected components, we have chosen this convention because it simplifies the notation.

A continuous map $f : X \to Y$ between decomposed spaces is called a *morphism* of decomposed spaces if for every piece S of $\mathcal{Z}_X$ there is a piece $R_S \in \mathcal{Z}_Y$ such that the image of S under f is contained in R_S, and the restriction map $f|_S : S \to R_S$ is smooth. For two decompositions $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2$ of a topological X, $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is said to be coarser than $\mathcal{Z}_2$ if the identity map is a morphism of decomposed spaces from $(X, \mathcal{Z}_2)$ to $(X, \mathcal{Z}_1)$.

Let x be a point of X. Two subsets A and B of X are taken to be equivalent at $x \in A \cap B$ if there is an open neighborhood U of x with $A \cap U = B \cap U$. The equivalent class of the all subsets that are equivalent the subset $A \subset X$ is called the germ of A at x, and denoted by $[A]_x$.

Definition 2.2. A stratification of a locally compact topological Hausdorff space X is a mapping $\mathcal{S}$ which assigns to every point $x \in X$ the germ $\mathcal{S}_x$ of some closed subset of X which is locally induced by a decomposition. This means that for every x in X, there is a neighborhood U of x and decomposition $\mathcal{Z}|_U$ of U such that for every point $y \in U$, the germ $\mathcal{S}_y$ agrees with the germ of the piece $S|_U \in \mathcal{Z}|_U$ that contains y. A topological space X together with a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ is called a stratified space.

Every decomposition $\mathcal{Z}$ of a topological space X naturally defines a stratification by assigning to every point x the germ $\mathcal{S}_x$ of the piece in $\mathcal{Z}$ that contains x. One can show that every stratified space $(X, \mathcal{S})$ has a unique decomposition $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{S}$ such that for any open subset U of X and any decomposition $\mathcal{Z}$ over U the restriction of $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{S}$ is coarser than any $\mathcal{Z}$. This decomposition is called the *canonical decomposition* of $(X, \mathcal{S})$, and a piece of $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *stratum* of X.

Let $(X, \mathcal{S})$ be a stratified space and $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{S}$ the family of its strata. A singular chart of class $\mathcal{C}^m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ from an open set U of X to a locally closed subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for every stratum $S \in \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{S}$ the image $\varphi(U \cap S)$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the restriction $\varphi_{U \cap S} : U \cap S \to \varphi(U \cap S)$ is a diffeomorphism of class $\mathcal{C}^m$.

Two singular charts $\varphi_1 : U_1 \to \varphi_1(U_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $\varphi_2 : U_2 \to \varphi_2(U_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ of a stratified space are called *compatible*, if for every $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ there exist $n \geq n_1, n_2$, an open neighborhood U_x of x with $U_x \subset U_1 \cap U_2$, open neighborhoods $O_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $O_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of $\varphi_1(U_x) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi_2(U_x) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, together with a diffeomorphism $h : O_1 \to O_2$ of class $\mathcal{C}^m$ such that $\varphi_{2|U_x} = h \circ \varphi_{1|U_x}$. Hereby, we regard $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ to be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^n$ via the first n_i coordinates.

Analogously to the standard definition of an atlas for manifold, one can introduce the notion of a singular atlas for a stratified space.

Definition 2.3. A set of pairwise compatible singular charts of class $\mathcal{C}^m$ for a stratified space $(X, \mathcal{S})$ is called a $\mathcal{C}^m$-atlas for X, if the domains of the singular charts cover X. A maximal atlas of singular charts of class $\mathcal{C}^m$ for $(X, \mathcal{S})$ is called a $\mathcal{C}^m$-structure of X. When $m = \infty$, we call it a smooth structure on X.

Example 2.4. Let G be a Lie group acting properly on a smooth manifold M. For $x \in M$, G_x is the isotropy group of G action at x. It is easy to see that if x and y are in the same orbit of G action, then G_x and G_y are conjugate to each other. Define the *orbit type* of an orbit of the G action to be the conjugacy class of G_x for x in the orbit. For a closed subgroup $H < G$, $M_{(H)}$ is the subset of M consisting of all x such that G_x is conjugate to H. It is not difficult to show [Pfl01b, 4.3.7, 4.3.11] that the map $x \mapsto M_{(G_x)}$ defines a stratification of M by orbit types, and the map $G \cdot x \mapsto M_{(G_x)}/G$ defines a stratification of M/G. In fact, the connected components of the orbit types $M_{(H)}$ and $M_{(H)}/G$ define canonical decompositions of M and M/G inducing this stratification, cf. Section 5.2.1

Given a stratified space $(X, \mathcal{S})$ with a smooth structure, one can construct a structure sheaf $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X$ of smooth functions as follows. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ be open, and define $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X(U)$ as the space of all continuous maps $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ which have the property that for every singular chart $\mathsf{x} : V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ there exists a smooth map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on some open set $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathsf{x}(U \cap V) \subset \tilde{V}$ and $f_{|U \cap V} = \tilde{f} \circ \mathsf{x}$. Obviously, the spaces $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X(U)$ form the section spaces of a sheaf $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X$ on X. The pair $(X, \mathcal{C}^\infty_X)$ then becomes a so-called (*reduced*) *differentiable space* (see [GoSa]), in particular Sec. 3.2, for the definition and more information on differentiable spaces). Moreover, the components of a singular chart on X are elements of some sectional space $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X(U)$, hence the smooth structure can be recovered from the structure sheaf $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X$. That is why we often denote a smooth structure on a stratified space just by its structure sheaf. Let us also note that a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of continuous functions on X is the sheaf of smooth functions of a smooth structure on X if and only if the following holds true:

- $(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a differentiable space,
- the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to each stratum recovers the sheaf of smooth functions on that manifold.

For a stratified space $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Mather has introduced the notion of control data in [Mat70]. Here we need the extension of this concept to stratified spaces with a smooth structure as provided in [Pfl01b, Sec. 3.6]. To this end let us first recall the notion of a tubular neighborhood in the sense of [Mat70].

Definition 2.5. Let M be a smooth manifold. By a *tubular neighborhood* of an embedded submanifold $S \subset M$ one understands a triple $\mathsf{T} = (E, \varepsilon, \varphi)$, where $\pi^E : E \to S$ is a smooth vector bundle over S with scalar product η, $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ a continuous map, and φ a diffeomorphism from $\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{S,E} := \big\{ v \in E \mid \|v\|^2 = \eta(v,v) < \varepsilon(\pi^E(v)) \big\}$ onto an open neighborhood T_S of S, the so-called *total space* of T, such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{S,E} \arrow[dr, "\varphi"] & \\ S \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & M \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

commutes. The map $\pi_S := \pi^E \circ \varphi^{-1} : \mathrm{T}_S \to S$ is called the *projection* of the tubular neighborhood, the function $\varrho : \mathrm{T}_S \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $p \mapsto \|\varphi^{-1}(p)\|^2$ its *tubular function.*

If $f : M \to N$ is smooth mapping, then one calls a tubular neighborhood T of $S \subset M$ *compatible* with f, if $f \circ \pi_S = f_{|\mathrm{T}}$.

In case (M, η) is a riemannian manifold, and $S \subset M$ a submanifold, there is a continuous $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that the metric exponential map defines a tubular neighborhood $(NS, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ of S in M. Hereby, $\pi^{NS} : NS \to S$ is the normal bundle of S in M, and $\varphi := \exp_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{S,NS}} : \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{S,NS} \to \mathrm{T}_S := \exp\big(\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{S,NS}\big)$. We will then call $(NS, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ or for short T_S a metric tubular neighborhood of S in M.

The following result guarantees the existance of tubular neighborhoods and is a variant of [Mat70, Prop. 6.2] and [Wei02, Thm. 5.1].

Theorem 2.6. *Let M, Q, and R smooth manifolds, $f : M \to Q$ a submersion, and $q \in Q$ a point in the image of f. Let $S := f^{-1}(q)$ and assume that $h : M \to R$ is a smooth map such that $h_{|S}$ is a submersion. Then there is a continuous $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a tubular neighborhood $(E, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ of S in M which is compatible with h, that means the compatibility relation*

$$h\pi_S(p) = h(p) \tag{2.2}$$

holds true for all $p \in \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S$, where $\pi_S : \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S \to S$ is the projection of the tubular neighborhood. Moreover, after possibly shrinking ε one can even achieve that $(\pi_S, f_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S}) : \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S \to S \times f(\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S)$ is an open embedding.

In case G is a compact Lie group which acts on M and Q such that f is G-equivariant and h is G-invariant, then ε can be chosen to be G-invariant. Moreover, φ and π_S are then equivariant with respect to the natural G-action on E.

Proof. The existence of the tubular neighborhood $(E, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ satisfying the compatibility condition follows from [Mat70] (see also [Pfl01b, Sec. 3.5]). By dimension counting, one checks that $\big(T\pi_S, Tf\big)_{|T_{|S}M} : T_{|S}M \to TS \times T_qQ$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles, hence after shrinking ε appropriately, $(\pi_S, f_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S}) : \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S \to S \times f(\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_S)$ is an open embedding indeed.

In the G-equivariant case the existence of equivariant tubular neighborhoods with the required properties follows from equivariant versions of the existence proof for tubular neighborhoods from [Mat70] or [Pfl01b, Sec. 3.5] or directly from [Kan]. $\square$

Now we have the means to define the notions of a tube for a stratum and of control data for a stratified space.

Definition 2.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{S})$ be a stratified space, and $S \in \mathcal{S}$ one of its strata. By a *tube* of S in X one understands a triple $\mathsf{T}_S = (\mathrm{T}_S, \pi_S, \varrho_S)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(TB1) T_S is an open neighborhood of S in X such that for every other stratum $R \in \mathcal{S}$ the relation $\mathrm{T}_{S,R} := \mathrm{T}_S \cap R \neq \emptyset$ implies $R \geq S$.

(TB2) $\pi_S : \mathrm{T}_S \to S$ is a continuous retraction of S such that for every stratum $R > S$ the restriction $\pi_{S,R} := \pi_{S|\mathrm{T}_{S,R}} : \mathrm{T}_{S,R} \to S$ is smooth.

(TB3) $\varrho_S : \mathrm{T}_S \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is a continuous mapping such that $\varrho_S^{-1}(0) = S$ holds true and such that for every stratum $R > S$ the restriction $\varrho_{S,R} := \varrho_{S|\mathrm{T}_{S,R}} : \mathrm{T}_{S,R} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is smooth.

(TB4) The mapping $(\pi_{S,R}, \varrho_{S,R}) : \mathrm{T}_{S,R} \to S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is a submersion for every pair of strata $R > S$.

If the stratified space $(X, \mathcal{S})$ carries a smooth structure $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, then one calls a tube $\mathsf{T}_S = (\mathrm{T}_S, \pi_S, \varrho_S)$ of S as being of *class* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ or *smooth*, if the following axiom is satisfied:

(TB5) There exists a covering of S by singular charts $\mathsf{x} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of X such that π_S and ϱ_S are induced by tubular neighborhoods $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{x} = (E^\mathsf{x}, \varepsilon^\mathsf{x}, \varphi^\mathsf{x})$ of $\mathsf{x}(S \cap U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in the sense that

$$\pi^\mathsf{x} \circ \mathsf{x} = \mathsf{x} \circ \pi_{S|U}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho^\mathsf{x} \circ \mathsf{x} = \varrho_{S|U},$$

where π^x is the projection and ϱ^x the tubular function of T^x. Note that in this case the functions π_S and ϱ_S are $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-mappings.

Definition 2.8. Given a stratified space $(X, \mathcal{S})$, *control data* for $(X, \mathcal{S})$ consist of a family $(\mathsf{T}_S)_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ of tubes $\mathsf{T}_S = (\mathrm{T}_S, \pi_S, \varrho_S)$ such that for every pair of strata $R > S$ and all $x \in \mathrm{T}_S \cap \mathrm{T}_R$ with $\pi_R(x) \in \mathrm{T}_S$ the control conditions

(CD1) $\pi_S \circ \pi_R(x) = \pi_S(x)$,

(CD2) $\varrho_S \circ \pi_R(x) = \varrho_S(x)$,

are satisfied. A stratified space on which some control data exist is called a *controllable* space. If $(X, \mathcal{S})$ carries additionally a smooth structure and if all tubes of the control data are smooth, then $(\mathsf{T}_S)_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ are called *smooth control data*.

The fundamental existence result for control data which we will later need in this article goes back to [Mat70]. It essentially states that existence of control data is guaranteed under the condition that a local condition, namely Whitney's condition B is satisfied (see [Mat70, §1 & §2] or [Pfl01b, Sec. 4] for details on the Whitney conditions).

Theorem 2.9 (cf. [Mat70, Prop. 7.1] and [Pfl01b, Thm. 3.6.9])**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{S})$ be a stratified space with a smooth structure and assume that it satisfies Whitney's* *condition B in every singular chart. Then $(X,\mathcal{S})$ possesses a system of smooth control data $(\mathsf{T}_S)_{S\in\mathcal{S}}$. Moreover, if $f : X \to N$ is a smooth stratified submersion to a smooth manifold N then the control data $(\mathsf{T}_S)_{S\in\mathcal{S}}$ can be chosen to be compatible with f.*

Remark 2.10. Note that a stratified space with a smooth structure which fulfills Whitney's condition B is locally contractible (see [Pfl01a]). This fact is later needed for the proof of a de Rham theorem for basic cohomology over orbit spaces of proper Lie groupoids.

3. Proper Lie groupoids

Assume to be given a proper Lie groupoid G. Sometimes, we will denote G by $s,t : \mathsf{G}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathsf{G}_0$ with s and t being its source and target map, respectively. The unit map of a groupoid G will be denoted by $u : \mathsf{G}_0 \to \mathsf{G}_1$. For any subset $B \subset \mathsf{G}_0$, we write $\mathsf{G}_{|B}$ for the restriction $(\mathsf{G}_{|B})_1 := s^{-1}(B) \cap t^{-1}(B) \rightrightarrows B$ with the induced source and target maps. If B is a closed submanifold of G_0, then $\mathsf{G}_{|B}$ is again a proper Lie groupoid. For each point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ denote by

$$\mathcal{O}_p := \{t(g) \in \mathsf{G}_0 \mid g \in \mathsf{G}_1 \text{ and } p = s(g)\}$$

the orbit through p. Obviously, the orbits give rise to a partition of the object space G_0. Consider the quotient space $X = \mathsf{G}_0/\mathsf{G}$ of all orbits with the quotient topology and the natural projection $\omega : \mathsf{G}_0 \to X$. According to [Zun, Prop. 2.2], X is a Hausdorff topological space, and each orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ is a closed submanifold of G_0. In this article, we will in particular construct a natural stratification of X. As a preparation we first recall the following result.

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [Zun, Prop. 2.2])**.** *Given a proper Lie groupoid G the following properties hold true:*

1. *If H is a Hausdorff Lie groupoid which is Morita equivalent to G, then H is also proper.*
2. *If N is a submanifold of G_0 which intersects an orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ at a point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ transversally, and Z is a sufficiently small open neighborhood of p in N, then the restriction $\mathsf{G}_{|Z}$ is a proper Lie groupoid which has p as a fixed point.*

Consider now a point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$, and let $\mathsf{G}_p := \{g \in \mathsf{G}_1 \mid s(g) = t(g) = p\}$ be the isotropy group at p. Then, G_p is a compact Lie group which acts on the normal space $N_p\mathcal{O}_p := T_p\mathsf{G}_0/T_p\mathcal{O}_p$. More generally, if $\mathcal{O}$ denotes an orbit of G in G_0, the restriction $\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$ is a proper Lie groupoid which acts on the normal bundle $N\mathcal{O} := T_{|\mathcal{O}}\mathsf{G}_0/T\mathcal{O}$. Following [Zun], let us show the latter which obviously also proves that G_p acts on $N_p\mathcal{O}$. So let $g \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$, $s(g) = p$, and $n \in N_p\mathcal{O}$ a normal vector over p. Then n can be represented by a smooth curve $\delta : (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \to \mathsf{G}_0$. In other words, this means that $\delta(0) = p$ and $n = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\delta(\tau)\big|_{\tau=0} + T_p\mathcal{O} \in N_p\mathcal{O}$. Next choose a smooth curve $\gamma : (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \to \mathsf{G}_1$ such that $\gamma(0) = g$ and $s \circ \gamma = \delta$. Put

$$g \cdot n := \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} t\big(\gamma(\tau)\big)\bigg|_{\tau=0} + T_{t(g)}\mathcal{O}, \tag{3.1}$$

and check that the thus defined normal vector $g \cdot n \in N_{t(g)}\mathcal{O}$ does not depend on the particular choices of δ and γ, and that this defines an action of $\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$ on $N\mathcal{O}$ indeed.

Before proceeding, let us briefly recall, cf. [MoMr, §5.4], that a morphism $f : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$ of Lie groupoids is said to be a *strong equivalence* or an *isomorphism* if it admits an inverse. It is said to be a *weak equivalence* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(ES) the map $t \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathsf{H}_1 \times_{\mathsf{H}_0} \mathsf{G}_0 \to \mathsf{H}_0$ is a surjective submersion, where the fiber product is taken over the source map of H and f,

(FF) G_1 is a fiber product as in the following square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{G}_1 \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "{(s,t)}"'] & \mathsf{H}_1 \arrow[d, "{(s,t)}"] \\
\mathsf{G}_0 \times \mathsf{G}_0 \arrow[r, "{(f,f)}"] & \mathsf{H}_0 \times \mathsf{H}_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

A weak equivalence f induces a homeomorphism of the quotient space $\mathsf{G}_0/\mathsf{G}_1 \cong \mathsf{H}_0/\mathsf{H}_1$.

The following result will be useful for extending the slice theorems for proper Lie groupoids by Zung to not necessarily source-locally trivial proper Lie groupoids.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid, $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ a point, and $\mathcal{O}$ the orbit through p. Then there is an open neighborhood U of p such that the restricted groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is source-locally trivial and such that the orbit in $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ through p, i.e. the restricted orbit $\mathcal{O}_{|U} = \mathcal{O} \cap U$, is of finite type.*

Proof. We consider two cases:

1. The orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is discrete.
2. The orbit $\mathcal{O}$ has positive dimension.

In the first case, there exists an open neighborhood $W \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ of p such that $\{p\} = W \cap \mathcal{O}$. This implies that in the restricted groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|W}$ the point p is a fixed point. By [DuZu, Prop. 7.3.8] there then exists an open neighborhood $U \subset W$ of p such that the restricted groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is source-locally trivial. Since p is a fixed point of $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$, the restricted orbit $\mathcal{O}_{|U}$ consists only of one point, hence is of finite type. This finishes the first case.

So let us consider the second case and assume that $\dim \mathcal{O} > 0$. This implies that each orbit through a sufficiently small neighborhood of p has positive dimension as well. Let us briefly check this. Assume that $p_n \in \mathsf{G}_0$ is a sequence of points converging to p such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the orbit through p_n is discrete. Then G_{p_n} is open and closed in $s^{-1}(p_n)$ for every n. Now let V be an open connected neighborhood of $u(p)$ in G_1 such that $V \cap s^{-1}(q)$ is connected for every q in a neighborhood of p. Observe that $V \cap s^{-1}(p_n) = V \cap \mathsf{G}_{p_n}$ for every n. Let $g \in V \cap s^{-1}(p)$. Then there exists a sequence $g_n \in V \cap \mathsf{G}_{p_n}$ converging to g. Moreover,

$$s(g) = \lim_{n\to\infty} s(g_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t(g_n) = t(g),$$

hence $g \in \mathsf{G}_p$. By dimension reasons this implies that the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ has to be discrete which contradicts the assumption on $\mathcal{O}$. Hence there exists an open neighborhood W of p in G_0 such that for every $q \in W$ the orbit $\mathcal{O}_q$ through q has positive dimension. After possibly shrinking W we can assume that there is a smooth chart $\mathsf{x} : W \to B \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathsf{G}_0}$ to an open ball B around the origin such that $\mathsf{x}(p) = 0$. By proper choice of W and the chart x one can even achieve that $\mathsf{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{O}} \cap B) = W \cap \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{O}}$ is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathsf{G}_0}$ via the first $\dim \mathcal{O}$ coordinates. Put $U :=$

$\mathsf{x}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}B)$. The closure $\overline{U}$ then is a manifold with boundary and is diffeomorphic to a closed ball via the chart x. The restriced target map $t_{|s^{-1}(U)} : s^{-1}(U) \to \mathsf{G}_0$ is a submersion, hence the preimage of $\overline{U}$ under $t_{|s^{-1}(U)}$ is a submanifold of $s^{-1}(U)$ with boundary. But this preimage coincides with $N := t^{-1}(\overline{U}) \cap s^{-1}(U)$. Moreover, the restriction $s_{|N}$ is a submersion, and each of its fibers $t^{-1}(\overline{U}) \cap s^{-1}(q)$, $q \in U$ is a compact manifold with boundary by properness of G, and since $t_{|s^{-1}(q)} : s^{-1}(q) \to \mathcal{O}_q$ is a submersion.

Let us show that $s_{|N} : N \to U$ is a proper map. To this end choose some compact subset $K \subset U$, and check that $s^{-1}_{|N}(K) = t^{-1}(\overline{U}) \cap s^{-1}(K)$. Since $(s,t) : \mathsf{G}_1 \to \mathsf{G}_0 \times \mathsf{G}_0$ is a proper map by assumptions on G and since $\overline{U} \times K$ is a compact subset of $\mathsf{G}_0 \times \mathsf{G}_0$, one concludes that $s^{-1}_{|N}(K)$ is compact, hence $s_{|N}$ is proper indeed.

Finally, $s_{|N} : N \to U$ is surjective, since $u(U) \subset t^{-1}(\overline{U}) \cap s^{-1}(U)$. Hence $s_{|N} : N \to U$ is a surjective proper submersion from a manifold with boundary to a manifold without boundary. By Ehresmann's Theorem for manifolds with boundary A.1, $s_{|N}$ has to be locally trivial with typical fiber given by the manifold with boundary $t^{-1}(\overline{U}) \cap s^{-1}(p)$, if we can yet show that the restriction $s_{|\partial N}$ is a submersion, too. Let us prove that this is the case. To this end it suffices to show that $T_g \partial N + \ker T_g s = T_g \mathsf{G}_1$ for all $g \in \partial N$. Choose a (local) bisection $\sigma : V \to \mathsf{G}_1$ around an open neighborhood V of $t(g)$ such that $\sigma(t(g)) = g^{-1}$. This means that $s \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_V$ and that $t \circ \sigma$ is a diffeomorphism from V onto its image. Let $\overline{\sigma}$ be the map $V \ni q \mapsto (\sigma(q))^{-1} \in \mathsf{G}_1$. Then $\overline{\sigma}(t(g)) = g$, and $T_{t(g)}\overline{\sigma}(T_{t(g)}\partial\overline{U})$ is a subspace of $T_g\partial N$ which is mapped isomorphically onto $T_{t(g)}\partial\overline{U}$ under the map $T_g t$. Observe that this implies $T_g \partial N = T_{t(g)}\overline{\sigma}(T_{t(g)}\partial\overline{U}) \oplus \ker T_g t$. Since $s \circ \overline{\sigma}$ is a diffeomorphism, $T_g s$ is injective on $T_{t(g)}\overline{\sigma}(T_{t(g)}\partial\overline{U})$. Hence the claim is proved, if we can show that $\ker T_g s \cap \ker T_g t$ is a proper subspace of $\ker T_g t$. Let us prove this by contradiction, and assume that $\ker T_g s = \ker T_g t$. Since $T_g t$ maps $\ker T_g s$ to the image of the anchor map at $t(g)$, the assumption $\ker T_g s = \ker T_g t$ implies that the image of the anchor map at $t(g)$ is zero. As a consequence, the orbit through $t(g)$ has to be discrete which contradicts our original assumption. Hence $\ker T_g s \cap \ker T_g t \subsetneq \ker T_g t$, which finishes the proof that $s_{|\partial N}$ is a submersion.

Besides $s_{|N}$, the restricted source map $s_{|t^{-1}(U) \cap s^{-1}(U)} : t^{-1}(U) \cap s^{-1}(U) \to U$ now has to be locally trivial as well, with typical fiber given by $t^{-1}(U) \cap s^{-1}(p)$. This entails that $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is source-locally trivial. Since by construction, $\mathcal{O} \cap U$ is diffeomorphic to an open ball in some euclidean space, $\mathcal{O} \cap U$ is a manifold of finite type, which proves the final claim. □

Now let us come to the slice theorem for proper Lie groupoids and recall the two original versions by Zung and Weinstein.

Theorem 3.3 (cf. [Zun, Thm. 2.3])**.** *Any proper Lie groupoid G with a fixed point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ is locally isomorphic to a linear action groupoid, namely the action groupoid of the action of the compact isotropy group G_p on the tangent space $T_p\mathsf{G}_0$.*

Theorem 3.4 (cf. [Wei02, Thm. 9.1])**.** *Assume that G is a proper Lie groupoid, and assume that $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ is an orbit of finite type, i.e. diffeomorphic as a manifold to the interior of a compact manifold with boundary. Then there is an open neighborhood U of $\mathcal{O}$ in G_0 such that the restriction $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ of G to U is isomorphic as a Lie groupoid to the restriction of $\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}$ to an appropriate neighborhood of the zero section in $N\mathcal{O}$.*

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.3 is nowadays known as Zung's Theorem. Although the theorem is stated as above in [Zun], the arguments in that paper actually assume source-local triviality. However, Proposition 7.3.8 by Dufour and Zung [DuZu] (see also Proposition 3.2 above) together with Zung's original proof in [Zun] show that Theorem 3.3 holds true in full generality. Let us also mention that a direct and independent proof of Zung's Theorem (without the source-local triviality assumption used in the proof) has been given in [CrSt], to which we also refer for further discussion about this issue.

Theorem 3.4 is a direct consequence of Zung's Theorem and [Wei02, Thm. 9.1]. It also follows from [CrSt, Thm. 1].

The finite type assumption for the orbit in Theorem 3.4 is an assumption which might not hold in an intended application. Nevertheless, for an arbitrary proper Lie groupoid G one can conclude from Prop. 3.2 and Thm. 3.4 that for every point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ there is an open neighborhood U of p such that the restriction $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is source-locally trivial, and isomorphic to the restriction of $\mathsf{G}_{|O} \ltimes NO$ to an open neighborhood of the zero section in NO, where $O := U \cap \mathcal{O}$, and $\mathcal{O}$ is the orbit through p. We will make frequent use of this fact in the remainder of this article. In particular, this observation will be a crucial ingredient in the proof of the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *For every proper Lie groupoid* G *there exists an open embedding of Lie groupoids* $\iota : \mathsf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ *such that* ι *is a weak equivalence and such that every orbit of* H *is of finite type.*

Proof. Choose for each point $\mathcal{Q}$ of the orbit space $X = \mathsf{G}_0/\mathsf{G}$ a preimage q under the canonical projection $\mathsf{G}_0 \to X$. Then choose a sufficiently small neighborhood B_q of q in the orbit $\mathcal{Q}$ with the following two properties:

1. The closure $\overline{B_q}$ is diffeomorphic to a closed bounded ball in some euclidean space.
2. There exists an open neighborhood U_q of q in G_0 and an isomorphism of Lie groupoids $\varphi_q : \mathsf{G}_{|B_q} \ltimes \mathrm{T}_q \to \mathsf{G}_{|U_q}$ between the restriction of $\mathsf{G}_{|B_q} \ltimes NB_q$ to an open invariant tubular neighborhood T_q of the zero section of NB_q and the restricted Lie groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|U_q}$.

Observe that by construction each orbit in $\mathsf{G}_{|B_q} \ltimes \mathrm{T}_q$ is of finite type. After possibly shrinking the neighborhoods U_q one can select a countable subset $Q \subset X$ such that the family $\left(U_q/\mathsf{G}\right)_{q\in Q}$ is a locally finite open covering of X. Now put $\mathsf{H}_0 := \bigcup_{q\in Q} U_q$ and $\mathsf{H} := \mathsf{G}_{|\mathsf{H}_0}$. By construction, the canonical embedding $\mathsf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ is a weak equivalence. Moreover, each orbit in H_0 is of finite type, since the orbits of the groupoids $\mathsf{G}_{|B_q} \ltimes \mathrm{T}_q$ are of finite type and since the covering $\left(U_q/\mathsf{G}\right)_{q\in Q}$ is locally finite. □

For the remainder of this section we collect some further results about proper Lie groupoids that will be needed in the course of the paper.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ *a point in a Lie groupoid* G, *and let* $Z \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ *be a submanifold which intersects orbits transversally. Let* $\mathrm{Sat}(Z) := \omega^{-1}\omega(Z)$ *be the saturation of* Z *in* G_0. *Then the embedding*

$$\mathsf{G}_{|Z} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}_{|\,\mathrm{Sat}(Z)}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. First remark that $\mathrm{Sat}(Z)$ is open in G_0, so $\mathsf{G}_{|\,\mathrm{Sat}(Z)}$ is indeed a Lie groupoid. As stated above, we have to check the two conditions (ES) and (FF) for the inclusion. The first follows from the fact that

$$\big(\mathsf{G}_{|\,\mathrm{Sat}(Z)}\big)_1 \times_{\mathrm{Sat}(Z)} Z := \{g \in \mathsf{G}_1 \mid s(g) \in Z\},$$

which clearly shows that the map t to $\mathrm{Sat}(Z)$ is surjective. Since in a Lie groupoid the target map is a submersion, transversality of Z implies that the restriction of t to $\mathrm{Sat}(Z)$ is a submersion as well. Secondly, (FF) is obvious by the definition of $\mathrm{Sat}(Z)$. ☐

Definition 3.8. A slice at $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ is a submanifold $Z \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ with $p \in Z$, which intersects orbits transversally, and $T_p\mathsf{G}_0 = T_pZ \oplus T_p\mathcal{O}_p$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $p, p' \in \mathsf{G}_0$ be two objects which lie in the same orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of a proper Lie groupoid G . Let Z and Z' be two submanifolds of G_0 such that $p \in Z$, $p' \in Z'$ and which are both slices to $\mathcal{O}$. Then, after possibly shrinking Z and Z' there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ of p' with $U \cap Z' = Z'$ and a local bisection $\sigma : U \to \mathsf{G}_1$ such that $t \circ \sigma_{|Z'}$ is a diffeomorphism from Z' onto Z.*

Proof. Since there exists an arrow $g \in \mathsf{G}_1$ with $s(g) = p$ and $t(g) = p'$ and a local bisection σ around p such that $\sigma(p) = g$, one can reduce the claim to the case, where $p = p'$. Moreover, since the claim is local it suffices by remark 3.5 to assume that G is isomorphic to $\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is the orbit through p. Finally, by an immediate composition argument, one can reduce the claim to the case, where Z' is an open neighborhood of the origin in N_p. So let us show this. Choose a local section $\varrho : O \to \mathsf{G}(p, -) := s^{-1}(p)$ of $t_{|\mathsf{G}(p,-)}$ such that O is an open neighborhood of p in the orbit $\mathcal{O}$. Then consider the map

$$\Psi : O \times N_p \to NO, \quad (q, n) \mapsto \varrho(q) \cdot n.$$

Since $T_{(p,0_p)}\Psi = \mathrm{id}$, and Ψ is linear in each fiber, Ψ becomes an isomorphism of vector bundles after shrinking O. Now recall that $Z \subset NO$ is transversal to O, hence after shrinking Z, the projection map

$$P : Z \to N_p, \quad P := \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \Psi^{-1}_{|Z}$$

becomes an open embedding. Let $V_p := P(Z)$. After shrinking O, one can assume that O is diffeomorphic to the unit ball in some $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that p corresponds to the origin under this diffeomorphism. Denote by $O_{\frac{1}{2}}$ the image of the ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ under this diffeomorphism. Addition in $\mathbb{R}^N$ gives rise to a smooth map

$$\star : O_{\frac{1}{2}} \times O_{\frac{1}{2}} \to O.$$

Note that then $p \star p = p$ and that p is a neutral element with respect to $\star$. Put $U_p := \Psi\Big(O_{\frac{1}{2}} \times \big(V_p \cap P(\pi^{-1}(O_{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap Z)\big)\Big)$, where π is the projection for NO, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : U_p &\to \big(\mathsf{G}_{|O} \ltimes NO\big)_1 \subset \mathsf{G}_1, \\ q &\mapsto \Big(\varrho\big(\mathrm{pr}_1(q) \star \pi\big(\mathrm{pr}_2(q) \star \pi\big(P^{-1}(\mathrm{pr}_2(q)\big)\big)\Big) \circ \Big(\varrho\big(\mathrm{pr}_1(q), \mathrm{pr}_2(q)\big)\Big)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_1(q) = \pi(q) \in O$, and $\mathrm{pr}_2(q) \in N_p$ is the projection onto the second coordinate under the isomorphism $O \times N_p \cong NO$. We check now two properties, namely Eq. (3.2) and Eq. (3.3). For $n \in N_p$, which implies in particular $\pi(n) = p$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2(n) = n$, we get with $b := P^{-1}(n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} t \circ \sigma(n) &= \varrho(\pi(b)) \cdot n = \varrho(\pi(b)) \cdot P(b) = \Psi\big(\pi(b), P(b)\big) = \\ &= \Psi\Big(\mathrm{pr}_1\left(\Psi^{-1}(b)\right), \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \Psi^{-1}(b)\Big) = b = P^{-1}(n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, for $q \in O_{\frac{1}{2}}$ one has

$$t \circ \sigma(q) = \varrho(q) \cdot 0_p = t(\varrho(q)) = q. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, $T_p(t \circ \varrho)$ is invertible, which implies that after shrinking U_p, the map $t \circ \sigma \to \mathsf{G}_0$ is an open embedding. Finally, for $q \in U_p$,

$$s \circ \sigma(q) = \varrho(\mathrm{pr}_1(q)) \cdot \mathrm{pr}_2(q) = \Psi\big(\mathrm{pr}_1(q), \mathrm{pr}_2(q)\big) = q.$$

Hence, σ is a bisection with the desired properties. □

Remark 3.10. The properness assumption in the preceding proposition is not necessary (cf. [Cra]). In the case of a Lie algebroid, a similar result as above has been proved in [Fer, Thm 1.2]. Fernandes' proof can be easily adjusted to give another proof of Prop. 3.9 without the properness assumption. We have chosen the above proof since we need it for our later construction of the metric tubular neighborhood in Theorem 4.1. More precisely, we shall use the following corollary to the proof of Prop. 3.9.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ be a point in an orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ of a proper Lie groupoid G. Then there is a neighborhood U of p in G_0 diffeomorphic to $O \times V_p$, where O is an open ball in $\mathcal{O}_p$ centered at p, and V_p is a G_p invariant open ball in $N_p\mathcal{O}_p$ centered at the origin. Under this diffeomorphism, the restricted groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is isomorphic to the product of the pair groupoid $O \times O \rightrightarrows O$ and the groupoid $G_p \ltimes V_p \rightrightarrows V_p$.*

We end this section by proving the existence of complete, transversally invariant riemannian metrics for proper Lie groupoids.

Definition 3.12. Given a riemannian metric η on G_0, we shall say that it is *transversally invariant*, if, restricted to each orbit $\mathcal{O}$, the induced metric on the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{O}}$ is invariant under the canonical action of $\mathsf{G}|_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Remark 3.13. Riemannian structures on Lie groupoids have been considered before, cf. [GGHR], but there it is assumed that both G_0 and G_1 carry riemannian metrics which are compatible in some sense. More recently, another version of riemannian groupoids was introduced in [Gli], where only G_0 is required to be equipped with a riemannian metric, subject to the condition that the local bisections of G_1 act by isometries. Our definition of a transversally invariant metric in turn is weaker than this: one easily shows the metric on G_0 on a riemannian groupoid (in either of the concepts mentioned above) is transversally invariant.

Proposition 3.14. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid. Then there exists a transversally invariant, complete, riemannian metric on G_0.*

Proof. As remarked above, G acts for every orbit $\mathcal{O}$ on the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{O}}$ to the orbit, but does in general not act on the tangent bundle $T\mathsf{G}_0$. However, there is a way to lift the representations on the normal bundles to a non-associative action on $T\mathsf{G}_0$, part of a *representation up to homotopy* as in [AbCr], called the adjoint representation. This construction depends on a choice of an Ehresmann connection

σ on G, that is, a smooth choice of a splitting $\sigma_s : s^*T\mathsf{G}_0 \to T\mathsf{G}_1$ of the exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow t^*A \xrightarrow{r} T\mathsf{G}_1 \xrightarrow{ds} s^*T\mathsf{G}_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which reduces to the canonical inclusion over G_0. Here, $A := \ker ds_{|\mathsf{G}_0}$ is the Lie algebroid of G, and r is the map given by right multiplication on G_1. Such a splitting amounts to the choice of a distribution on G_1 complementary to the s-fibers, which equals $T\mathsf{G}_0$ at the identity. In turn, composition with the inversion map determines a splitting $\sigma_t := \sigma_s \circ d\iota : t^*T\mathsf{G}_0 \to T\mathsf{G}_1$ of the similar exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow s^*A \xrightarrow{l} T\mathsf{G}_1 \xrightarrow{dt} t^*T\mathsf{G}_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

with the roles of s and t reversed, where l is now induced by the left multiplication.

With this choice of Ehresmann connection, we can define smooth sections $\mu \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathsf{G}_1, \mathrm{Hom}(s^*A, t^*A))$ and $\lambda \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathsf{G}_1, \mathrm{Hom}(s^*T\mathsf{G}_0, t^*T\mathsf{G}_0))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu := -(\pi_t \circ l), \quad \text{and} \\ &\lambda := dt \circ \sigma_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_t : T\mathsf{G}_1 \to t^*A$ is the projection induced by σ_s, and l denotes left multiplication in G_1. We think of μ and λ as giving for each $g \in \mathsf{G}_1$ a homomorphism, denoted μ_g, λ_g, from $A_{s(g)}$ resp. $T_{s(g)}\mathsf{G}_0$ to $A_{t(g)}$ resp. $T_{t(g)}\mathsf{G}_0$. In general these sections will not define representations of G, e.g. $\lambda_{g_1} \circ \lambda_{g_2} \neq \lambda_{g_1 g_2}$ for $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathsf{G}_2$, but one easily checks that the anchor map $\rho : A \to T\mathsf{G}_0$ defined by $\rho := dt_{|\mathsf{G}_0}$ is equivariant with respect to the quasi-actions defined by λ and μ. It therefore induces a quasi-action of G on the normal bundle N, i.e., the quotient $T\mathsf{G}_0/\rho(A)$. This action is the same as the canonical one defined in (3.1) because the Ehresmann connection exactly defines a choice of lifting smooth paths in G_0 to G_1, and therefore the quasi-action on N induced by λ and μ is in fact a true action. In other words, the obstruction to associativity of the quasi-action on $T\mathsf{G}_0$ lies in the image of the anchor, a precise formula can be found in [AbCr], and we can view λ as a smooth, albeit non-associative, lifting of the canonical action of G on N.

Next we fix a smooth Haar system $\left(\mu^x\right)_{x \in \mathsf{G}_0}$ and choose a "cut-off" function c for G. This is a smooth function on G_0 with the properties

(CU1) $s : \mathrm{supp}(c \circ t) \to \mathsf{G}_0$ is proper,

(CU2)

$$\int_{s^{-1}(x)} c(t(g)) d\mu^x(g) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathsf{G}_0.$$

Such a function exists by properness of G, cf. [Tu]. Given an arbitrary riemannian metric $\tilde{\eta}$, we can now consider the averaged metric given by

$$\eta'_x(v, w) = \int_{s^{-1}(x)} \tilde{\eta}_{t(g)}\big(\lambda_g(v), \lambda_g(w)\big)\, c(t(g)) d\mu^x(g),$$

where $v, w \in T_x\mathsf{G}_0$. It is not immediately clear that this indeed defines a metric, more specifically, is positive definite. However, since the Ehresmann connection is required to coincide with $T\mathsf{G}_0$ when restricted to G_0, it follows that each identity arrow 1_x, $x \in \mathsf{G}_0$ acts by the identity transformation. By continuity therefore, $\tilde{\eta}_{t(g)}(\lambda_g(v), \lambda_g(v)) > 0$ for g in a neighborhood of 1_x in $s^{-1}(x)$, and we see that η' is positive definite. When restricted to the normal bundle, this averaged metric is invariant under G by the invariance of the system of measures $(c \circ t)\mu^x$.

This proves the existence of a transversally invariant riemannian metric, and we finally show that it can even be made complete: for this we adapt the simple argument given by [Wei02, Lemma A5] to the groupoid case. Using averaging as above, on easily shows that there exist G_0-invariant proper smooth functions on G_0. Choosing such a function f, consider the metric

$$\eta := \left(1 + ||\operatorname{grad}(f)||^2_{\eta'}\right)^{-1} \eta'.$$

With respect to η, we then have $||\operatorname{grad}(f)||_\eta \leq 1$, and therefore η is complete. $\square$

4. The slice theorem for proper Lie groupoids revisited

Assume that we are given a proper Lie groupoid G with a transversally invariant riemannian metric on G_0. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ be an orbit and $p \in \mathcal{O}$ a point. Choose $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that the metric exponential map exp defines a tubular neighborhood $(N\mathcal{O}, \varepsilon, \varphi)$ of $\mathcal{O}$ in M, where $N\mathcal{O}$ is the normal bundle to $\mathcal{O}$ in M and $\varphi := \exp_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}} : \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}} \to \mathrm{T}_\mathcal{O} := \exp(\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}})$. Let $\pi_\mathcal{O} : \mathrm{T}_\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ be the projection of the tubular neighborhood. Denote by $B_{\varepsilon(p)}(0_p) = N_p \cap \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}$ the ball of radius $\varepsilon(p)$ in $N_p\mathcal{O}$ and by Z_p the slice $\exp(B_{\varepsilon(p)}(0_p))$. We can assume without restriction now and in the following that $\varepsilon(q) \leq \varepsilon(p)$ for all $q \in \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, by Prop. 3.9, one can shrink ε so that one has for the projection $\omega : \mathsf{G}_0 \to X$ onto the orbit space:

$$\omega(Z_q) \subset \omega(Z_p) \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathcal{O}. \tag{4.1}$$

After possibly shrinking ε again, there exists a homomorphism $\lambda : \mathsf{G}_{|Z_p} \to \mathsf{G}_p$ by [Zun, Sec. 2.2] which induces an isomorphism of groupoids $\theta : \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes Z_p \to \mathsf{G}_{|Z_p}$ whose inverse is $(\lambda, s_{\mathsf{G}_{|Z_p}})$. Since the restricted exponential map $\exp_{|B_{\varepsilon(p)}(0_p)} : B_{\varepsilon(p)}(0_p) \to Z_p$ is a G_p-equivariant isomorphism, one obtains an isomorphism of groupoids $\Theta : \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes B_{\varepsilon(p)} \to \mathsf{G}_{|Z_p}$ by $\Theta := \theta \circ (\mathrm{id}_{|\mathsf{G}_p}, \exp_{|B_{\varepsilon(p)}(0_p)})$. One concludes that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes B_{\varepsilon(p)} \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d, "\Theta"'] & B_{\varepsilon(p)} \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\ \mathsf{G}_{|Z_p} \arrow[r, "s"'] & Z_p \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes B_{\varepsilon(p)} \arrow[r, "t"] \arrow[d, "\Theta"'] & B_{\varepsilon(p)} \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\ \mathsf{G}_{|Z_p} \arrow[r, "t"'] & Z_p \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.2}$$

Next consider the submanifold $\mathsf{G}(-, Z_p) := t^{-1}(Z_p)$ of G_1. As explained in Step 2. of the proof of [Wei02, Thm. 9.1], the isotropy group G_p acts on $\mathsf{G}(-, Z_p)$ via the embedding of G_p into the group of bisections of $\mathsf{G}_{|Z_p}$. The restriction $t_{|\mathsf{G}(-,Z_p)} : \mathsf{G}(-, Z_p) \to Z_p$ of the target map then is a G_p-equivariant submersion. By Thm. 2.6 there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\varepsilon} : \mathsf{G}(-, p) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a G_p-equivariant tubular neighborhood $\big(E, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \Phi\big)$ of $\mathsf{G}(-, p)$ in $\mathsf{G}(-, Z_p)$ such that the compatibility relation

$$\pi_\mathcal{O} s\left(\pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\gamma)\right) = \pi_\mathcal{O} s\left(\gamma\right) \tag{4.3}$$

holds for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{T}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}$ and $\pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)} : \mathrm{T}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)} \to \mathsf{G}(-, p)$ the projection of the tubular neighborhood. Moreover, one can achieve after shrinking $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ that

$$\left(\pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}, t_{|\mathrm{T}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}}\right) : \mathrm{T}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)} \to \mathsf{G}(-, p) \times Z_p$$

is an open embedding. Since $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is G_p-invariant one can even assume after further shrinking ε and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ that $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \circ s_{|\mathsf{G}(,p)}$. By slight abuse of language we will therefore write ε instead of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ from now on. The restricted source map $s_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}} : \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)} \to \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is then a surjective submersion. Now we will use Step 3 in the proof of [Wei02, Thm. 9.1] to construct a submersive retraction $\Pi : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \to \mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$. To this end let $\gamma \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}}$. Since then $s(\gamma) \in \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $t(\gamma) \in \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$, Eq. (4.1) entails that there are arrows $\iota, \kappa \in \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}$ such that $s(\iota) = t(\gamma)$ and $s(\kappa) = s(\gamma)$. The composition $\iota\gamma\kappa^{-1}$ then is well-defined and lies in $\mathsf{G}_{|Z_p}$. Hence on can put

$$\Pi(\gamma) := \pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\iota)^{-1}\, \lambda\big(\iota\gamma\kappa^{-1}\big)\, \pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\kappa). \tag{4.4}$$

By Eq. (4.3) and since $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a retraction onto $\mathcal{O}$ one obtains for the target and source of $\Pi(\gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned} s\big(\Pi(\gamma)\big) &= s\big(\pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\kappa)\big) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} s \pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\kappa) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} s(\kappa) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} s(\gamma), \text{ and} \\ t\big(\Pi(\gamma)\big) &= s\big(\pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\iota)\big) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} s \pi_{\mathsf{G}(-,p)}(\iota) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} s(\iota) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}} t(\gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Hence $\Pi(\gamma) \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$ indeed. As has been shown in Step 3 of the proof of [Wei02, Thm. 9.1], $\Pi(g)$ does not depend on the choices made, and is a retraction onto $\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$. Moreover, it has been shown there that $\Pi(\gamma\,\gamma') = \Pi(\gamma)\,\Pi(\gamma')$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}}$ with $s(\gamma) = t(\gamma')$. Together with Eq. (4.5) this shows that Π is a morphism of groupoids over the map $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ on objects. Now we define

$$\Theta := \big(\Pi, \exp^{-1}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \circ s\big) : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \to \big(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Let us show that after possibly shrinking ε, Θ is an isomorphism of groupoids. To this end let $K \subset \mathcal{O}$ be a compact submanifold with boundary of dimension $\dim \mathcal{O}$. Then K° is a manifold of finite type. Put $\varepsilon_K := \min\limits_{q \in K} \varepsilon(q)$. Then by Step 4 of the proof of [Wei02, Thm. 9.1], the map

$$\Theta_K : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_K}_{K^{\circ}}} \to \big(\mathsf{G}_{|K^{\circ}} \ltimes NK^{\circ}\big)_{\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_K}_{K^{\circ},NK^{\circ}}}$$

is an open embedding. Observe that $\mathcal{O}$ can be exhausted by a countable number of compact manifolds with boundary K_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K_n° is open in $\mathcal{O}$. After having defined the ε_{K_n} as above shrink ε such that $\varepsilon(q) \leq \max\limits_{\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid q \in K_n^{\circ}\}} \varepsilon_{K_n}(q)$. One checks immediately that then Θ has to be a diffeomorphism. According to [Wei02, Thm. 9.1] each of the Θ_K is a morphism of groupoids, hence Θ has to be a morphism of groupoids as well. This proves the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an orbit in a proper Lie groupoid G. Then there exists a neighbourhood U of $\mathcal{O}$ in G_0 such that $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is isomorphic to a neighbourhood of the zero section of $\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}$. More precisely: Let η be a transversally invariant riemannian metric on G_0. Then there exists a continuous map $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a submersive retraction $\Pi : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \to \mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}}$ such that $\Theta : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \to \big(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}$ as defined by Eq.* (4.6) *is an isomorphism of groupoids, and such that the following two diagrams commute:*

(4.7)

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \arrow[r, "s"] & \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ \big(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}} \arrow[u, "\Theta^{-1}"'] \arrow[r, "s"'] & \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}} \arrow[u, "\exp_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}"'] \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}} \arrow[r, "t"] & \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ \big(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}} \arrow[u, "\Theta^{-1}"'] \arrow[r, "t"'] & \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}} \arrow[u, "\exp_{|\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

In case there is an open embedding $\mathsf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ *of proper Lie groupoids such that the closure of* $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathsf{H}_0$ *is a compact subset, then the function* $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ *can be chosen to be constant over* $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathsf{H}_0$.

Remark 4.2. In general, the tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is *not* G-invariant. However, in the case where ε can be chosen to be constant, it follows that $\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is invariant. This is an important distinction between such orbits and the general case. See [CrSt] for an interesting and careful discussion.

5. The canonical stratification of proper Lie groupoids

5.1. **Stratification.** Now consider a point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ in the orbit $\mathcal{O}$, and choose a slice $Z \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ to the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ at p. After possibly shrinking Z, there exists, by Zung's theorem stated above, an isomorphism of groupoids

$$\varphi : \mathsf{G}_{|Z} \to \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V_p,$$

with V_p a neighborhood of the origin in $N_p\mathcal{O}$. This isomorphism induces in particular an action of G_p on Z. Let $S_{Z,p} := Z^{\mathsf{G}_p}$ be the fixed point manifold of G_p in Z, and let $\mathcal{S}_p$ denote the set germ of the projection of $S_{Z,p}$ in X, that means let

$$\mathcal{S}_p := [\omega(S_{Z,p})]_{\mathcal{O}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then we have the following results.

Lemma 5.1. *The set germ* $\mathcal{S}_p$ *does not depend on the particular choice of the slice* Z *to the orbit* $\mathcal{O}$ *at* p *and the isomorphism* $\varphi : \mathsf{G}_{|Z} \to \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V_p$.

Proof. Let Z' be a second submanifold through p which is transversal to the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ through p. Choose a local bisection $\sigma : U \to \mathsf{G}$ according to Proposition 3.9 such that $t \circ \sigma$ is a diffeomorphism from Z onto Z'. We then can define a morphism of groupoids $\Phi : \mathsf{G}_{|Z} \to \mathsf{G}_{|Z'}$ by putting

$$\Phi(g) := \big(\sigma(t(g))\big) g \big(\sigma(s(g)\big)^{-1}.$$

One checks immediately, that Φ is an isomorphism of groupoids, and that Φ_0 is given by

$$Z \ni q \mapsto t(\sigma(q)) \in Z'.$$

In the next step, we use Zung's Theorem again to obtain an isomorphism of groupoids $\varphi' : \mathsf{G}_{|Z'} \to \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V'_p$, where V'_p is another G_p-invariant neighborhood of the origin in the normal space $N_p\mathcal{O}$. Then consider the composition

$$\Psi := \varphi' \circ \Phi \circ \varphi^{-1} : \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V'_p \to \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V'_p.$$

By construction, Φ is an isomorphism of groupoids. Let $q \in S_{Z,p}$. Then $\varphi(q)$ is an element of the invariant space $V_p^{\mathsf{G}_p}$. Hence $\Psi(\varphi(q)) = \varphi'(\Phi(q))$ is an element of the invariant space $(V'_p)^{\mathsf{G}_p}$, and consequently $\Phi(q) \in S_{Z',p}$. Since q and $\Phi(q)$ lie in the same orbit, one obtains $\omega(S_{Z,p}) \subset \omega(S_{Z',p})$. By symmetry, we have $\omega(S_{Z',p}) \subset \omega(S_{Z,p})$. The claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *If* p, q *are in the same orbit of* G, *then* $\mathcal{S}_p = \mathcal{S}_q$.

Proof. Any $g \in \mathsf{G}_1$ with $s(g) = p$ and $t(g) = q$ defines an isomorphism $\mathsf{G}_p \cong \mathsf{G}_q$ by conjugation. This isomorphism is independent of $g \in s^{-1}(p) \cap t^{-1}(q)$ up to conjugation in G_q (or G_p). Furthermore, the element g induces a G_p-G_q equivariant isomorphism from $N_p\mathcal{O}$ onto $N_q\mathcal{O}$. Therefore, in the quotient the two set germs $\mathcal{S}_p$ and $\mathcal{S}_q$ are canonically isomorphic. $\square$

By the last lemma, $\mathcal{S}_p$ depends only on the projection $\omega(p)$, hence we will identify $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\mathcal{O} \in X$ with $\mathcal{S}_p$ where $\omega(p) = \mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 5.3. *The mapping which associates to every point $\mathcal{O} \in X$ the set germ $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ in X is a stratification of X.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_p \in X = \mathsf{G}_0/\mathsf{G}$. Choose a slice $Z \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ to the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ at p together with an isomorphism of groupoids $\varphi : \mathsf{G}_{|Z} \to \mathsf{G}_p \ltimes V_p$ as above. It is well-known that the orbit space $V_p/\mathsf{G}_p \cong Z/\mathsf{G}_{|Z}$ has a canonical stratification by orbit types. Denoting by $\varrho : V_p \to V_p/\mathsf{G}_p$ the canonical projection, this stratification is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varrho(v)} = \big[\varrho(V_p^{(\mathsf{G}_{p,v})}\big]_{\varrho(v)},$$

where $v \in V_p$, $\mathsf{G}_{p,v} \subset \mathsf{G}_p$ is the isotropy group of v, and $V_p^{(\mathsf{G}_{p,v})}$ is the subspace of all elements of V_p having an isotropy group conjugate to $\mathsf{G}_{p,v}$. The claim is thus proven if under the isomorphism $V_p/\mathsf{G}_p \cong Z/\mathsf{G}_{|Z}$ we can show that $\mathcal{T}_{\varrho(\varphi(q))} = \mathcal{S}_{\omega(q)}$ for all $q \in Z$. To this end denote first by G_q the isotropy group of q. Since $\mathsf{G}_{|Z}$ is isomorphic to $V_p \rtimes \mathsf{G}_p$, the isotropy group H can be identified with a subgroup H of G_p. Note that this identification depends on φ. Let $Z^{(\mathsf{H})}$ be the space of all elements $b \in Z$ having isotropy group conjugate to H. Now choose a submanifold $D \subset Z$ through q which is transversal to the G_p-orbit through q. Note that by the slice theorem for group actions one can choose D in such a way that D is invariant under H and such that $D \cap Z^{\mathsf{H}} = V \cap Z^{\mathsf{H}}$ for some open neighborhood $V \subset Z$ of q. By construction it is clear that D is also transversal to the G-orbit through q in G_0. Moreover,

$$D^{\mathsf{G}_q} = D^{\mathsf{H}} = D \cap Z^{\mathsf{H}} = V \cap Z^{\mathsf{H}},$$

hence we obtain for the set germs at $\omega(q)$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\omega(q)} = \big[\omega(D^{\mathsf{G}_q})\big]_{\omega(q)} = \big[\omega(Z^{\mathsf{H}})\big]_{\omega(q)} \cong \big[\varrho(V_p^{\mathsf{H}})\big]_{\varrho(\varphi(q))} = \big[\varrho(V_p^{(\mathsf{H})})\big]_{\varrho(\varphi(q))} = \mathcal{T}_{\varrho(\varphi(q))}.$$

Thus the claim follows. ☐

Corollary 5.4. *The quotient space X of a proper Lie groupoid G carries in a natural way the structure of a stratified space with smooth structure. Moreover, X is even Whitney stratified and has a system of smooth control data.*

Proof. According to the preceding theorem, the previously defined $\mathcal{S}$ is a natural stratification of X. Let us show, that $(X, \mathcal{S})$ even has a canonical smooth structure. For every open $U \subset X$ let $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X(U)$ be the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathrm{inv}}\big(\omega^{-1}(U)\big)$ of G-invariant smooth functions on $\omega^{-1}(U)$. Obviously, we thus get a sheaf on X. Let us show that $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X$ is the structure sheaf of a smooth structure on X. To this end let $\mathcal{O}_p \in X$ be an orbit, and choose a slice $Z \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ to the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ at $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$. After possibly shrinking Z, $\omega(Z)$ is open in X. Consider the map

$$r_Z : \mathcal{C}^\infty_X\big(\omega(Z)\big) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(Z), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \omega_{|Z}.$$

We show that after possibly shrinking Z again, r_Z induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_X\big(\omega(Z)\big) \cong \big(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Z)\big)^{\mathsf{G}_p}. \tag{5.2}$$

By Prop. 3.7, r_Z is injective. To prove surjectivity, first choose an open neighborhood U of p according to Remark 3.5, i.e. such that $\mathsf{G}_{|U}$ is isomorphic to the restriction of the transformation groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|O} \ltimes NO$ to an open neighborhood of $O := U \cap \mathcal{O}_p$ in NO. After possibly shrinking Z and U, one can achieve that

$Z = U \cap Z$. By Prop. 3.9 we can assume, after possibly shrinking Z and U again, that $Z \subset N_pO$. Shrinking U further, one can achieve that for every $q \in U$ there is an arrow $g_q \in \mathsf{G}_{|O}$ such that $g_q \cdot q \in Z$. Now let $h \in \big(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Z)\big)^{\mathsf{G}_p}$. Define $H : U \to \mathbb{R}$ by $H(q) = h(g_q \cdot q)$. Then, by invariance of h, $H(q)$ is independent of the particular choice of g_q. Moreover, H is smooth by construction. Next, let $q \in \mathrm{Sat}(Z)$, and choose a bisection $\sigma_q : V \to \mathsf{G}_1$ over an open neighborhood $V \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ such that $t(\sigma(V)) \subset U$. Define $f : \mathrm{Sat}(Z) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(q) = H(t(\sigma(q)))$. By construction, f then is smooth, independent of the particular choices of the bisections σ_q and invariant. Moreover, $f_{|Z} = h$. This proves surjectivity of r_Z.

From the work [Schw75] one concludes that there exists a singular chart $\mathsf{x} : Z/\mathsf{G}_p \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\big(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Z)\big)^{\mathsf{G}_p} \cong \mathsf{x}^*\mathcal{C}^\infty(W)$, where $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open with $\mathsf{x}(Z/\mathsf{G}_p) \subset W$ being closed (see [Pfl01b] for details). Moreover, the stratified space $\mathsf{x}(Z/\mathsf{G}_p)$ satisfies Whitney's condition B. This entails that X carries a canonical smooth structure which satisfies Whitney's condition B in each singular chart. By Thm. 2.9, X is controllable. This proves the claim. □

Remark 5.5. We end with several remarks about this stratification:

i) If $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$, the set germs $\mathcal{T}_p := \omega^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_p)$ define a stratification of the object space G_0.

ii) In case G is étale, the quotient space X is an orbifold and the stratification of Theorem 5.3 is the usual one.

iii) On the other extreme, if G is s-connected, collecting strata of the same dimension, one obtains a coarser stratification from Theorem 5.3 which agrees with the one of the singular riemannian foliation of Proposition 6.4, constructed in [Mol1, §6.2]. This follows from the fact that the orbits of the singular riemannian foliation induced by the groupoid G are given in terms of Lie-algebroid paths for A which can be used to integrate the groupoid G, cf. [CrFe].

5.2. **Orbit types.** In Theorem 5.3, we have defined a stratifications of X and of G_0 using set-germs. This local and conceptually very clear approach to stratification theory originates in the work of Mather. It has the advantage of avoiding the technical constructions of partitions of X and G_0 which define the stratification. In the case of a proper G-action on a manifold M (c.f. Example 2.4), there is a natural way to define the orbit type stratification of M and also of $X = M/G$ by a partition with respect to a certain "orbit type" equivalence relation (cf. [DuKo]). Inspired by this construction we briefly discuss in this subsection a natural decomposition of G_0 and X by "orbit type".

Definition 5.6. Let G be a proper Lie groupoid.

(*i*) The *weak orbit type* of a point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ is the (abstract) isomorphism class of the isotropy group G_p: We write $p \sim_o q$ if $\mathsf{G}_p \cong \mathsf{G}_q$ as Lie groups.

(*ii*) The *normal orbit type* of $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ is the isomorphism class of the representation of G_p on $N_p\mathcal{O}$: we write $p \sim_n q$ if $p \sim_o q$ and the isomorphism $\mathsf{G}_p \cong \mathsf{G}_q$ extends to an isomorphism $N_p\mathcal{O} \cong N_q\mathcal{O}$ of representations.

The adjective "weak" is put in (*i*) to indicate that in the case of a proper Lie group action, this definition is a weaker version of the usual notion of orbit type, see below. Clearly, both $\sim_o$ and $\sim_n$ define equivalence relations on G_0 and partition G_0 into subsets of G_0. As two points on the same orbit have the same weak orbit type and normal orbit type (Lemma 5.1 and 5.2), $\sim_o$ and $\sim_n$ define also equivalence relations on the orbit space $X = \mathsf{G}_0/\mathsf{G}$. Since the isomorphism classes of the orbit type and normal orbit type are invariant under Morita equivalence, the partitions on X defined by $\sim_o$ and $\sim_n$ are also Morita invariant. The main result proved in this section about these partitions is the following:

Theorem 5.7. *Let* G *be a proper Lie groupoid.*

- *i)* *Each normal orbit type is an open and closed subset of its weak orbit type.*
- *ii)* *The connected components of the partition into weak orbit types are locally closed submanifolds of* G_0 *and form a decomposition of* G_0 *in the sense of Definition 2.1.*
- *iii)* *On the level of set germs, the induced stratification of this decomposition agrees with the one defined in section 5.1.*

5.2.1. *Proper group action.* Let us consider the action groupoid $G \ltimes M$ for a proper G-action on M. Then one can define two natural equivalence relations on M. Put for $p, q \in M$

1. $p \sim q$, if G_p is conjugate to G_q in G, and
2. $p \approx q$, if there is a G-equivariant diffeomorphism Φ from an open G-invariant neighborhood U_p onto an open G-invariant neighborhood U_q of q such that $\Phi(p) = q$.

The equivalence relation $p \sim q$ is stronger than $p \sim_o q$ as there are isomorphisms between subgroups which are not conjugation by an element in G. The following proposition determines the relation between the partitions on M defined by $\sim$ and $\sim_o$.

Proposition 5.8. *For* $p \in M$*, there is an open neighborhood* U *of* p *such that in* U *the relation* $p \sim_o q$ *holds true if and only if* $p \sim q$*.*

For the proof, we will need the following well known property about subgroups of a Lie group G:

Lemma 5.9. *For a compact subgroup* H *of* G*, every closed subgroup* H_0 *of* H *that is isomorphic to* H *as Lie groups is identical to* H*.*

Proof. We refer the reader to the arguments in the proof of [Pfl01b, Lemma 4.2.9]. ☐

Proof of Proposition 5.8. According to the slice theorem for group actions there exists a G-invariant open neighborhood U of p and a G-equivariant diffeomorphism $U \to G \times_{G_p} B$, where B is a G_p-invariant open neighborhood of 0 in $N_p\mathcal{O}_p$. Hence we can assume without loss of generality that $M = G \times_{G_p} B$, $p = [e, 0]$ with e being the identity element in G, and $q = [g, x]$ for some $g \in G$ and $x \in B$. Obviously, $p \sim q$ implies $p \sim_o q$. It remains to show that $p \sim_o q$ implies $p \sim q$. Since q lies in the same G-orbit as $[e, x]$, G_q is conjugate to $G_{[e,x]}$. But $G_{[e,x]}$ is a closed subgroup of G_p. Since G_p is compact, Lemma 5.9 implies that $G_{[e,x]}$ is identical to G_p. Therefore, $p \sim q$. ☐

Remark 5.10. A similar result holds true for $\sim_n$ and $\approx$ with the similar arguments as Proposition 5.8.

Proposition 5.11. *The connected components of the partition defined by* $\sim_o$ *define the orbit type decomposition on* M*, which induce a stratification of the quotient* $X = M/G$*.*

Proof. Proposition 5.8 implies that the connected components of the partition defined by $\sim$ is identical to those defined by $\sim_o$. This proposition follows from the similar result for the equivalence relation $\sim$ as in [DuKo, Thm.2.7.4]. $\square$

5.2.2. *The decomposition of* G_0. Now let us consider the case of a proper Lie groupoid and the equivalence relations $\sim_o$ and $\sim_n$. Considering for $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ the neighborhoods $O \times V_p$ described in Corollary 3.11 one concludes that the connected components of the partition defined by $\sim_o$ are submanifolds. Furthermore, Proposition 5.11 implies the following result:

Proposition 5.12. *The germs of the partition defined by the orbit type* $\sim_o$ *on* G_0 *and* X *agree with* $\mathcal{S}$ *as defined by (5.1).*

Theorem 5.7 *iii*) follows immediately from this Proposition. To prove that the partition by the normal orbit type defines a natural decomposition of G_0 and X, we need the following two lemmata.

Lemma 5.13. *For every* $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ *there exists a neighborhood* U *of* p *such that for* $q \in U$ *the relation* $q \sim_o p$ *holds true if and only if* $q \sim_n p$*.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $q \sim_o p$ implies $q \sim_n p$. By Corollary 3.11, this follows from the analog statement for the case of a compact group action on a manifold, which is proved in [DuKo, Thm 2.6.7 (i)]. $\square$

Lemma 5.13 shows that the normal orbit types are open and closed in the orbit types, and that the normal orbit type decomposes G_0 into submanifolds, cf. Theorem 5.7 *i*).

Lemma 5.14. *If* $p, q \in \mathsf{G}_0$ *such that* $p \sim_n q$*, then there exist open neighborhoods* U_p *and* U_q *of* p *and* q *in* G_0 *such that the restricted groupoids* $\mathsf{G}_{|U_p}$ *and* $\mathsf{G}_{|U_q}$ *are isomorphic.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.11 which describes G locally. $\square$

It remains to prove Theorem 5.7 *ii*):

Proposition 5.15. *The connected components of the partitions by orbit type* $\sim_o$ *(and therefore also of normal orbit types* $\sim_n$*) define decompositions of* G_0 *and* X*.*

Proof. Lemma 5.13 proves that the connected components of the partition by normal orbit type are submanifolds of G_0. The only not immediate property of a decomposition which remains to be shown is the condition of frontier. To prove the condition of frontier consider two connected components R and S of the partition by normal orbit type. We have to show that if $R \cap \overline{S} \neq \emptyset$, then $R \subset \overline{S}$. Let $p \in R \cap \overline{S}$. By Lemma 5.14, there exist for $q \in R$ open neighborhoods U_p and U_q of p and q respectively such that $\mathsf{G}_{|U_p}$ is isomorphic to G_{U_q}. This implies that q is also in $\overline{S}$. Hence $R \subset \overline{S}$. $\square$

6. Metric properties of proper Lie groupoids

In this section we discuss the metric properties of the quotient space. Let G be a proper Lie groupoid equipped with a transversally invariant riemannian metric. There is a standard way, cf. [Gro, Sec. 1.16$^+$] and [BuBuIv, Def. 3.1.12], to define a semi-metric on the quotient by

$$\bar{d}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}') := \inf\big\{d(q_1,\mathcal{O}) + \ldots + d(q_k,\mathcal{O}_{k-1})\big\}, \tag{6.1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all choices of pairs $(q_i,\mathcal{O}_i)$, $q_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$, $i = 1,\ldots,k-1$ and $q_k \in \mathcal{O}'$, over all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid such that the orbit space X is connected. Let η be a transversally invariant riemannian metric on G. Then the induced semi-metric* (6.1) *on the orbit space X is in fact a metric and the following properties hold true:*

1. *The metric $\overline{d}$ is uniquely determined by the property that for each orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in G_0 and every point $q \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of an appropriate metric tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ the relation*
$$\overline{d}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_q) = d(q,\mathcal{O})$$
holds true, where $\mathcal{O}_q$ is the orbit through q.
2. *The canonical projection $\omega : \mathsf{G}_0 \to X$ onto the orbit space is a submetry, i.e. every ball $B_r(p)$ in (G_0,η) with respect to the geodesic distance on the riemannian manifold (G_0,η) is mapped under ω onto the ball $B_r(\mathcal{O}_p)$ in X.*
3. *$(X,\overline{d})$ is a length space, i.e. the geodesic distance with respect to $\overline{d}$ coincides with $\overline{d}$. Moreover, the topology induced on X by $\overline{d}$ coincides with quotient topology with respect to ω.*
4. *In case (G_0,η) is a complete riemannian manifold, $(X,\overline{d})$ is even a complete locally compact length space, and every bounded closed ball is compact.*
5. *In case (G_0,η) has curvature bounded from below, $(X,\overline{d})$ is an Alexandrov space globally of dimension $\leq \dim \mathsf{G}_0$.*

Remark 6.2. This theorem generalizes the following special case: let $f : M \to N$ be a submersion. Associated is a proper Lie groupoid G with $\mathsf{G}_0 := M$, $\mathsf{G}_1 := M \times_N M$, source and target are induced by the two projections to M, and composition is given by $(x,y)\cdot(y,z) = (x,z)$. A transversally invariant riemannian metric on G is a metric on M which descends to a riemannian metric on N, and makes f into a riemannian submersion. Since N is the quotient space of this particular groupoid and f the projection map, this fact suffices to prove Thm 6.1 in this case.

For a general proper Lie groupoid, with singular quotient space, a submetry is exactly the right generalization of a riemannian submersion. For more information on the metric concepts used in the theorem see the monograph [BuBuIv]. Length spaces are covered in Chapter 2 of that book, Alexandrov spaces in Chapter 10. Alexandrov spaces are essentially length spaces with curvature bounded below. They generalize riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded below but share many properties with riemannian manifolds.

6.1. Proper Lie groupoids and singular riemannian foliations.

Before proving the above theorem let us introduce some notation, and prove several auxiliary results. First, assume to be given a smooth manifold M with a riemannian metric η, and a submanifold $S \subset M$, not necessarily closed. Let $N \subset T_{|S}M$ denote the normal bundle to S in M, and $\pi^N : N \to S$ its projection. Then there exists a continuous function $\varepsilon_S : S \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for each continuous $r : S \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $0 < r \leq \varepsilon_S$ the restriction of the exponential map to

$$U_{S,r} := \big\{ v \in N \mid \|v\| < r\big(\pi^N(v)\big)\big\} \tag{6.2}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto its image which will be denoted by T^r_S. Choosing such an ε_S, each of the sets T^r_S with $0 < r \leq \varepsilon_S$ then is a (metric) tubular neighborhood of S in M, and

$$T^r_S = \exp\big(U_{S,r}\big) = \big\{ p \in M \mid d(p,S) < r\big(\pi_S(p)\big)\big\}, \tag{6.3}$$

where $d(p,S)$ is the geodesic distance from p to S, and $\pi_S : T^r_S \to S$ is the projection of the tubular neighborhood T^r_S. In a situation, where $\exp_{|U_{S,r}}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image for all $0 < r \leq \varepsilon_S$, we say that ε_S defines a tubular neighborhood of S in M. In case S is a compact submanifold, ε_S can be chosen to be constant, i.e. one can choose $\varepsilon_S > 0$ to be a positive real number such that the constant function on S with value ε_S defines a tubular neighborhood of S in M. After these notational preparations, let us proceed now to prove the auxiliary results.

Lemma 6.3. *Let (M,η) be a connected riemannian manifold, and $S \subset M$ be a closed submanifold. Let $p \in M$ be a point, and denote by $d(p,S)$ the geodesic distance between p and S which means the infimum of all geodesic distances $d(p,q)$, where q runs through the elements of S. Assume that there is a point $q \in S$ such that $d(p,q) = d(p,S)$, and that $d(p,q)$ is less than the convexity radius of M at p and at q. Then the tangent vector $X := \exp_q^{-1}(p) \in T_qM$ is orthogonal to T_qS.*

Proof. Let $Y \in T_qS$ be a tangent vector, and $\gamma : (-\delta,\delta) \to S$ a smooth path in S with $\gamma(0) = q$ and $\dot\gamma = Y$. Then, after possibly passing to some smaller δ, the tangent vectors $\widetilde{X}(t) := \exp_p^{-1}\big(\gamma(t)\big)$ are well-defined for $|t| < \delta$. Since $\eta\big(\widetilde{X}(t),\widetilde{X}(t)\big)$ has a local minimum at $t = 0$, one obtains

$$0 = \eta\Big(\widetilde{X}(0), \big(T_{\widetilde{X}(0)}\exp_p\big)^{-1}Y\Big).$$

By the Gauss-Lemma, Y is orthogonal to $T_{\widetilde{X}(0)}\exp_p\big(\widetilde{X}(0)\big)$, and $T_{\widetilde{X}(0)}\exp_p\big(\widetilde{X}(0)\big) = -X$. The claim follows. ☐

Let us now recall, following [Mol1, Chap. 6], the notion of a *singular riemannian foliation* on a manifold M: this is a partition of M by connected immersed submanifolds (the leaves) satisfying

- *i*) (singular foliation) the module of vector fields tangent to the leaves acts transitively on each leaf,
- *ii*) (riemannian structure) there exists a riemannian metric on M with the property that every geodesic that is perpendicular to a leave at one point, remains perpendicular to every leaf it meets.

A metric as in *ii*) above, is called *adapted* to the singular foliation. A typical example of a singular riemannian foliation is given by the orbit foliation of an isometric action of a Lie group on a riemannian manifold.

The following result is stated in [DuZu, Corollary 7.4.13] without a proof.

Proposition 6.4. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid, and η a transversally invariant riemannian metric on G_0. Then the connected components of the orbits of G define a singular riemannian foliation on G_0 for which η is an adapted metric.*

Proof. Consider the map D which associates to each point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ the tangent space $T_p\mathcal{O}_p$, where $\mathcal{O}_p$ denotes the orbit through p. This is a singular vector-distribution in the sense of Stefan–Sussmann [Ste, Sus, DuZu] which can be identified with the image under the anchor map ρ of the Lie algebroid A of G. For any Lie algebroid (A, ρ) it is known, cf. [DuZu, §8.1.4] that $D = \rho(A)$ defines a singular foliation: first of all, by the local splitting theorem [Fer, Thm 1.1], the distribution is smooth. Second, the maximal integral submanifolds L of D can be described in terms of "A-paths" (cf. [Fer]) as follows: we say that $x,\ y \in \mathsf{G}_0$ are A-equivalent, written $x \sim_A y$, if there exists an A-path connecting the two points. This defines an equivalence relation whose orbits are immersed submanifolds of G_0, in fact embedded in case G is proper. They are the maximal integrating submanifolds of D, so the Stefan–Sussmann Theorem [DuZu, Thm. 1.5.1] implies that D is the tangent distribution of a singular foliation,

It remains to be shown that the singular foliation G_0 together with η is riemannian. Since η is transversally invariant and the claim is local, it suffices by [Mol1, Prop. 6.4] to show that for each point $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$ there exists a neighborhood W and a riemannian metric ϱ on W such that the pair (W, ϱ) is a singular riemannian foliation. To this end choose a neighborhood $O \subset \mathcal{O}_p$ diffeomorphic to a ball in $T_p\mathcal{O}_p$ under the exponential map. By the slice theorem for proper Lie groupoids, G looks in a neighborhood of p like the transformation groupoid $\mathsf{G}_{|O} \ltimes \tilde{W}$, where $\tilde{W}$ is a $\mathsf{G}_{|O}$-invariant open neighborhood of the zero-section of the normal bundle NO. So it suffices to prove that on $\big(\mathsf{G}_{|O} \ltimes NO\big)_0$ there is an adapted riemannian metric ϱ which means that each geodesic which emanates orthogonally to an orbit stays orthogonally to every orbit it passes through. By the choice of O one has a trivialization $NO \cong O \times N_pO$ (Corollary 3.11). Now choose a G_p-invariant scalar product ϱ^{v} on N_pO, and let ϱ^{h} be the pull-back to O of a euclidean metric on $T_p\mathcal{O}_p$ under the exponential map exp. The product metric ϱ of ϱ^{v} and ϱ^{h} then has the desired property. This can be seen as follows. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset NO$ be an orbit of the $\mathsf{G}_{|O}$-action on NO, and assume that $\gamma : [0,1] \to NO$ is a geodesic which emanates orthogonally from $\mathcal{Q}$. This means that $q := \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\dot\gamma(0) \perp T_q\mathcal{Q}$. Under the trivialization $NO \cong O \times N_pO$ one has $q = (q_1, q_2)$ with $q_1 \in O$ and $q_2 \in N_pO$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}$ then is given under this trivialization by $O \times \mathsf{G}_p \cdot q_2$, where G_p is the isotropy group of p. Since ϱ is the product metric of ϱ^{v} on N_pO and ϱ^{h} on O, one concludes that

$$O \cong T_q(O \times \{q_2\}) \perp \{q_1\} \times N_pO, \tag{6.4}$$

hence $\dot\gamma(0) \in \{q_1\} \times N_pO$. But this implies that $\gamma(t) \in \{q_1\} \times N_pO$ and $\dot\gamma(t) \perp O$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. By [Mol1, p. 188], one obtains that $\dot\gamma(t)$ is orthogonal in $\{q_1\} \times N_pO$ to the orbit $\mathsf{G}_p \cdot \gamma(t)$. By Eq. (6.4) it follows that $\dot\gamma(t)$ is orthogonal to $T_{\gamma(t)}\mathcal{Q}$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. This proves that ϱ is an adapted riemannian metric for the singular foliation.

Finally, remark that the transversally invariant metric η on G induces a transverse metric on the normal bundle to each leaf of the foliation as defined in [Mol1, Sec. 6.3]. Therefore, by [Mol1, Prop. 6.4], the metric η is adapted to the foliation. □

Proposition 6.5. *Under the assumptions from above, each connected component of a stratum of the stratification of G_0 by orbit type is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathsf{G}_0$, and denote by S_p the stratum through p with respect to the stratification of G_0 by orbit type. Consider the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ through p. Let $\pi^N : N \to \mathcal{O}$ be its normal bundle, and $N^{\mathrm{inv}} \to \mathcal{O}$ the invariant bundle, which by definition consists of the bundles of fibers $(N_q)^{\mathsf{G}_q}$, where $q \in \mathcal{O}$ and G_q is the isotropy group of q. Then choose a sufficiently small open ball B around p in the orbit $\mathcal{O}$ through p such that B is geodesically convex in $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to the riemannian metric induced by η on $\mathcal{O}$. After possibly shrinking B, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the metric tubular neighborhood $(N_{|B}, \varepsilon, \exp_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N_{|B}}})$ exists. Denote by T^ε_B its total space, and from now on the restricted normal bundle $N_{|B}$ shortly by N. By the slice theorem for proper Lie groupoids as formulated in Thm. 4.1, one can even choose B and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathsf{G}_{|B}$ acts on $M := T^\varepsilon_B$, and such that $\mathsf{G}_{|M}$ is isomorphic to $\big(\mathsf{G}_{|B} \ltimes N\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N}}$ via an isomorphism of groupoids Θ over the map $\exp^{-1}_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N}}$ on objects. In particular one then has

(6.5)
$$S_p \cap M = \exp\big(\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N^{\mathrm{inv}}}\big) \quad \text{where } \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N^{\mathrm{inv}}} := \{v \in N^{\mathrm{inv}} \mid \pi^N(v) \in B \text{ and } \|v\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since B is in particular contractible, there exists a smooth section $\tau : B \to \mathsf{G}(p, -)$ over B of the target map $t : \mathsf{G}(p,-) \to \mathsf{G}_0$ restricted to morphisms with source p. One can even achieve that $\tau(p) = u(p)$. Let us now define an action of G_p on $\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N}$. To this end let $v \in \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N}$, $q := \pi^N(v) \in B$, and $g \in \mathsf{G}_p$. Then put

$$gv := \big(\tau_q g \tau_q^{-1}\big)v.$$

Clearly, this defines the desired action. Via the isomorphism Θ between $\mathsf{G}_{|M}$ and $\big(\mathsf{G}_{|B} \ltimes N\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{B,N}}$ the G_p-action is transferred to M. By Eq. (6.5) and the equivariance of the exponential map one obtains

$$S_p \cap M = M^{\mathsf{G}_p}.$$

By [Kli, Thm. 1.10.15], the right hand side of this equality is totally geodesic. Since it is enough to show that S_p is locally totally geodesic, the claim now follows. □

The next proposition is an equidistance result for orbits of proper Lie groupoids which is crucial for the construction of an appropriate metric on the orbit space X. For singular foliations a corresponding result is known [Mol2]. Since we are not aware of an explicit proof for the case we consider here, we provide a complete proof below.

Proposition 6.6. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid and η a transversally invariant riemannian metric on G. Then the partition of G_0 into orbits is* equidistant *in the following sense.*

(ED) *For every orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in G_0 there exists a metric tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}_\mathcal{O}$ such that for every orbit $\mathcal{O}'$ and all $q, q' \in \mathcal{O}' \cap \mathrm{T}_\mathcal{O}$ the relation $d(q, \mathcal{O}) = d(q', \mathcal{O})$ holds true, where $d(q, \mathcal{O})$ denotes the geodesic distance between q and $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ be an orbit of G. Let $\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_\mathcal{O}$ for some continuous $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a tubular neighborhood and $\Theta : \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_\mathcal{O}} \to \big(\mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O}\big)_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}}$ an isomorphism of groupoids as in Theorem 4.1. Let q, q' be two points in $\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_\mathcal{O}$ which both lie in some orbit $\mathcal{Q}$. Then there exists an arrow $G \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_\mathcal{O}}$ such that $s(G) = q$ and $t(G) = q'$. Let $g = \Pi(G)$ with Π as in Theorem 4.1. Moreover, let $v, v' \in \mathrm{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O},N\mathcal{O}}$ such that

$q = \exp v$ and $q' = \exp v'$. By Theorem 4.1 one concludes that $v' = gv$. Since η is a transversally invariant riemannian metric, one concludes

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) = \|v\| = \|gv\| = \|v'\| = d(q', \mathcal{O}).$$

This proves the claim. $\square$

As an application of Proposition 6.6, we prove a stability result for compact orbits of a proper Lie groupoid G with only connected orbits. We call G *orbit connected*, if every orbit of G is connected.

Recall that a neighborhood U of an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of a groupoid G is called *invariant*, if every orbit of G which intersects nontrivially with U is contained in U. Following [CrSt, Def. 4.2], we define an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of a Lie groupoid G_0 to be *(topologically) stable*, if $\mathcal{O}$ admits arbitrarily small invariant neighborhoods.

Proposition 6.7. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid with a transversally invariant riemannian metric η on G_0. If all orbits of G are connected, the metric tubular neighborhood $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ near a compact orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is invariant for every sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, a compact orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of an orbit connected proper Lie groupoid G is stable.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, we can fix a metric tubular neighborhood $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that property (ED) holds true.

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is compact, the closure of the metric tubular neighborhood $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ is completely contained in $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$, if the constant $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen to be sufficiently small. Furthermore, any neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}$ contains a metric tubular neighborhood $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ as a subset. Hence, to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that for such a small ε, an orbit $\mathcal{O}'$ of G is completely contained in $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$, if it intersects $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ nontrivially.

Define A to be the intersection between $\mathcal{O}'$ and $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Since $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is an open subset of G_0, A is relatively open in $\mathcal{O}'$. Since G_0 is Hausdorff, $\mathcal{O}'$ is Hausdorff as well. Let us show that A is closed in $\mathcal{O}'$, ormore precisely that any x in the closure $\overline{A}$ lies in A as well. So let $x \in \overline{A}$. By construction of A, x is in $\mathcal{O}'$ and is contained in the closure of the ε-tubular neighborhood $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ which is a subset of $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Since the closure of $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is contained in $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$, property (ED) implies that each point in the intersection between $\mathcal{O}'$ and $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$ has equal distance to $\mathcal{O}$. Since x is in $T^{\delta}_{\mathcal{O}}$, x has the same distance to $\mathcal{O}$ as any point in $A \subset \mathcal{O}'$. As $A \subset T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and the distance function is continuous, the distance from x to $\mathcal{O}$ is less than ε. Therefore, x lies in $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and in $\mathcal{O}'$, hence one gets $x \in A$. Thus A is both open and closed in $\mathcal{O}'$. Since $\mathcal{O}'$ is connected, A has to coincide with $\mathcal{O}'$, and $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is invariant. $\square$

Remark 6.8. It is natural to expect that a similar result as Proposition 6.7 holds true for singular riemannian foliations. We leave the details to the diligent readers.

Remark 6.9. Combining Proposition 6.7 with Theorem 4.1, we conclude that a compact orbit $\mathcal{O}$ inside an orbit connected proper Lie groupoid has arbitrarily small invariant neighborhoods $T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $\mathsf{G}_{|T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{O}} \ltimes N\mathcal{O})_{|T^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}}}$. We suggest the reader to compare this result to Corollary 2 and Remark 1.2 in [CrSt].

6.2. **Proof of the main theorem.** Under the assumptions of the proposition assume now that the orbit space X is connected. Choose for each point $q \in \mathsf{G}_0$ a relatively compact subset $B_q \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_q$ such that the closure $\overline{B_q}$ is diffeomorphic to a closed bounded ball in some euclidean space. Choose $\varepsilon_q > 0$ such that $\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_q}_{\overline{B_q}}$

is a metric tubular neighborhood of $\overline{B_q}$ in G_0. After possibly shrinking the ε_q one can achieve that $\varepsilon_q \leq \varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_q}(p)$ for all $p \in \overline{B_q}$ where $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_q} : \mathcal{O}_q \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is chosen such that Π and Θ as in Theorem 4.1 exist for the tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_q}}_{\mathcal{O}_q}$. After possibly shrinking the ε_q, one can find by the proof of Proposition 3.6 a countable subset $Q \subset \mathsf{G}_0$ such that the following holds true:

(1) The groupoid H defined by $\mathsf{H}_0 := \bigcup_{q\in Q} \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_q}_{B_q}$ and $\mathsf{H} := \mathsf{G}_{|\mathsf{H}_0}$ is a proper Lie groupoid with orbits of finite type.
(2) The canonical embedding $\iota : \mathsf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ is a weak equivalence.
(3) The family $\big(\omega(\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_q}_{B_q})\big)_{q\in Q}$ is a locally finite open covering of the orbit space X.

Since by (2) the inclusion $\mathsf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ is a weak equivalence, they have the same quotient space and we can use H to write down a semi-metric on X, instead of G. In fact we can arrange this in the following way: Next let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ be orbits in G_0. Since X is connected, there exist orbits $\mathcal{O}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_k$ in G_0 and points $q_i \in \mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathsf{H}_0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$ such that

(CS1) $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathcal{O}'$,
(CS2) $q_i \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{i-1}\cap \mathsf{H}_0}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$, where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathsf{H}_0} \subset \mathsf{H}_0$ is as in the proof of the preceding proposition applied to the case of the proper Lie groupoid H with riemannian metric $\eta_{|\mathsf{H}_0}$.

We will call $\mathcal{O}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_k, q_1, \cdots, q_k$ a connecting sequence of orbits and points in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$. Now we define

$$\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}') := \inf \big\{ d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) + \ldots + d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}) \big\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all connecting sequences of orbits and points in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$. This semi-metric $\overline{d}$ coincides with the quotient (semi)-metric for the canonical projection $\mathsf{H}_0 \to X$ as defined in [Gro, Sec. 1.16$^+$] and [BuBuIv, Def. 3.1.12]: Given any connecting sequence $(\mathcal{O}_i, q_i)$ not necessarily (CS2) and distance minimizing geodesics γ_i from $\mathcal{O}_i$ to q_{i+1}, we can realize the same sum $\sum_i d(q_{i+1}, \mathcal{O}_i)$ by introducing more orbits satisfying (CS2) and subdividing the geodesics γ_i.

We will show in several steps that $\overline{d}$ is a metric indeed, and does not depend on the particular choice of H, and in fact equals (6.1).

Step 1. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an orbit in G_0 and let q be a point in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0}$. Assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ is the orbit through q and that q' is another point of $\mathcal{Q}$. We will show that

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) \leq d(q', \mathcal{O}). \tag{6.6}$$

To this end it suffices to show that for every path $\varrho : [0, \ell] \to \mathsf{G}_0$ parameterized by arc length such that $\varrho(0) \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\varrho(\ell) = q'$ the relation $d(q, \mathcal{O}) \leq \ell$ holds true. Let us show that this is the case indeed. We can assume that ϱ is piecewise geodesic and meets every orbit in at most one point, otherwise we could pass over to a shorter ϱ. Recall from above the properties of the continuous maps $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_q} : \mathcal{O}_q \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $q \in \mathsf{G}_0$. After possibly shrinking $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_p}$ for $p \in \varrho([0, \ell])$ one can even achieve that $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_p}$ is constant on the relatively compact set $(\mathcal{O}_p \cap \mathsf{H}_0) \cup \pi_{\mathcal{O}_p}\big(\mathrm{T}_p \cap \varrho([0, \ell])\big)$, where T_p is the tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_p}}_{\mathcal{O}_p}$. By compactness of the image of ϱ one can now find times $t_0, \cdots, t_l$ such that the following holds true:

(1) One has $t_0 = 0$ and $t_l = \ell$.
(2) The restricted paths $\varrho_{|[t_i, t_{i+1}]}$ are geodesics for $i = 0, \cdots, l - 1$.

(3) The image $\varrho([0,\ell])$ is contained in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{l} \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{q'_i}}$, where $q'_i := \varrho(t_i)$ for $i = 0, \cdots, l$, and

(4) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{q'_i}} \cap \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{q'_{i+1}}} \cap \varrho([0,\ell]) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0, \cdots, l-1$.

Put $\mathcal{O}_i := \mathcal{O}_{q'_i}$ and $\mathrm{T}_i := \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{q'_i}}$. Then choose $q_l \in \mathcal{O}_l \cap \mathsf{H}_0$ and points $p'_0, \cdots, p'_{l-1}$ such that $p'_i \in \mathrm{T}_i \cap \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cap \varrho([0,\ell])$. By Theorem 4.1 one can now find $g_l \in \mathsf{G}_{|\mathcal{O}_l}$ such that $q_l = g_l \pi_l(p'_{l-1}) \in \mathsf{H}_0$, where π_i is the projection of the tubular neighborhood T_i. Put $p_{l-1} := g_l p'_{l-1}$. Then p_{l-1} lies in H_0 and T_{l-1}. Put $q_{l-1} := \pi_{l-1}(p_{l-1})$. Since $p_{l-1} \in \mathsf{H}_0$, one has $q_{l-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{l-1} \cap \mathsf{H}_0$. Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned} d(q_l, q_{l-1}) &\leq d(p_{l-1}, q_l) + d(p_{l-1}, q_{l-1}) = d(p_{l-1}, \mathcal{O}_l) + d(p_{l-1}, \mathcal{O}_{l-1}) = \\ &= d(p'_{l-1}, \mathcal{O}_l) + d(p'_{l-1}, \mathcal{O}_{l-1}) \leq d(p'_{l-1}, q'_l) + d(p'_{l-1}, q'_{l-1}) = t_l - t_{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceed inductively to construct $q_l, p_{l-1}, \cdots, p_0, q_0 \in \mathsf{H}_0$ such that

$$d(q_{i-1}, q_i) \leq t_i - t_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, l.$$

But then

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} d(q_{i-1}, q_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} t_i - t_{i-1} = \ell$$

what we wanted to show. Hence Eq. 6.6 holds true.

Step 2. Like in Step 1 let $q \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$. We will show that for each connecting sequence of orbits $\mathcal{O}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_k$ and points $q_1, \cdots, q_k$ in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and the orbit $\mathcal{O}_q$ through q the estimate

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) \leq d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) + \ldots + d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}) \tag{6.7}$$

holds true. This implies in particular that

$$\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_q) = d(q, \mathcal{O}). \tag{6.8}$$

Let us prove (6.7) first in the case where all q_i are assumed to be in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$. We will prove the claim by induction on k. Assume first that $k = 2$. By construction of the tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$ there exists an arrow $g \in \mathsf{H}_{|\mathcal{O}}$ such that $\pi_1(q_2) = g q_1$, where π_i for $0 \leq i \leq k$ denotes the projection of the tubular neighborhood $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_i\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$. Then $s(g) = \pi_0(q_1)$. Put $p := t(g)$. By Proposition 6.6 one concludes

$$d(\pi_1(q_2), p) = d(\pi_1(q_2), \mathcal{O}_0) = d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) = d(q_1, \pi_0(q_1)).$$

From this one gets

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) \leq d(q_2, \pi_1(q_2)) + d(\pi_1(q_2), \mathcal{O}_0) = d(q_2, \mathcal{O}_1) + d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0),$$

which proves the claim for $k = 2$. Assume that the claim holds for some k, and consider a connecting sequence of orbits $\mathcal{O}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_{k+1}$ and points $q_1, \cdots, q_{k+1}$ in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and the orbit $\mathcal{O}_q$, where it is assumed that all the q_i are in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$. By the inductive assumption and the initial step one gets

$$d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) + \ldots + d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}) + d(q_{k+1}, \mathcal{O}_k) \geq d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_0) + d(q_{k+1}, \mathcal{O}_k) \geq d(q, \mathcal{O}).$$

This finishes the claim for the case, where all points q_i are in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$. If one of the points q_i is not in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$ the claim is obvious.

Step 3. Next we will show that $\overline{d}$ is non-degenerate, i.e. that for orbits $\mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$ the relation $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ holds true. So let us assume that $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$. In case there is some $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathsf{H}_0}$, Step 2 entails that

$$d(q, \mathcal{O}) = \overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = 0,$$

which implies that $q \in \mathcal{O}$ and thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$. Now consider the case $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0} = \emptyset$. We will show that in this case $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$ does not hold true. Let $\mathcal{O}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_k$, $q_1, \cdots, q_k$ be a connecting sequence of orbits and points in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and the orbit $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0} = \mathrm{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0}$. Consider the smallest i such that $\mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0} \neq \emptyset$ but $q_{i+1} \notin \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0}$. Then there is a point $q' \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}\cap \mathsf{H}_0}$ in the unique geodesic of minimal length connecting q_{i+1} with $\mathcal{O}_i$ such that $d(q', \mathcal{O}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. But this entails that

$$d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) + \ldots + d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}) =$$
$$= d(q_1, \mathcal{O}_0) + \ldots + d(q_i, \mathcal{O}_{i-1}) + d(q', \mathcal{O}_i) + d(q_{i+1}, q') + \ldots + d(q_k, \mathcal{O}_{k-1}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

hence that $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$. This finishes Step 3 and proves in particular that $\overline{d}$ is a metric on X indeed.

Step 4. Next we show that the canonical projection $\omega : \mathsf{H}_0 \to X$ is a submetry that means that $\omega\big(B_r(p)\big) = B_r\big(\omega(p)\big)$ for all $p \in \mathsf{H}_0$ and $r > 0$. Let $q \in B_r(p)$, and denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the orbit through p and by $\mathcal{O}_q$ the orbit through q. In other words let $\mathcal{O} = \omega(p)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q = \omega(q)$. Let us prove that $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_q) < r$. To this end choose a piecewise geodesic $\gamma : [0, \ell] \to \mathsf{H}_0$ parameterized by arc length such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(\ell) = q$. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots t_{k-1} < t_k = \ell$ be a partition such that $\gamma_{|[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is a geodesic for $i = 1, \cdots, k$. Let $q_i := \gamma(t_i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_i$ be the orbit through q_i. Then $\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_k, q_1, \ldots, q_k$ is a connecting sequence of orbits and points in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_q$. Moreover,

$$\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_q) \leq d(\mathcal{O}_0, q_1) + \ldots + d(\mathcal{O}_{k-1}, q_k) \leq (t_1 - t_0) + \ldots + (t_k - t_{k-1}) = \ell < r,$$

where $t_i - t_{i-1}$ is the length of the path $\gamma_{[t-i-1, t_i]}$. This proves that $\omega(q) \in B_r\big(\omega(p)\big)$.

Now let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ be an orbit such that $\overline{d}(\mathcal{O}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}) < r$. Then there exists a connecting sequence $\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_k, q_1, \ldots, q_k$ of orbits and points in H_0 between $\mathcal{O}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ such that

$$d(\mathcal{O}_0, q_1) + \ldots + d(\mathcal{O}_{k-1}, q_k) < r.$$

Now put $p_0 := p$, and choose $g_1 \in \mathsf{H}_0$ such that $p_0 = g_1 \pi_{\mathcal{O}_0}(q_1)$. Put $p_1 := g_1 q_1$. Note that p_1 is well-defined by Thm. 4.1 since $g_1 \in \mathsf{H}_{|\mathcal{O}_0 \cap \mathsf{H}_0}$. By construction one obtains $p_1 \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_0 \cap \mathsf{H}_0}$ and $d(\mathcal{O}_0, q_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$. Assume that we have constructed a sequence $p_0, \ldots, p_l \in \mathsf{H}_0$, $l < k$, such that $p_{i+1} \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_i \cap \mathsf{H}_0}$ and $d(\mathcal{O}_i, q_{i+1}) = d(p_i, p_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, l-1$. Choose $g_{l+1} \in \mathsf{H}_1$ such that $p_l = g_{l+1} \pi_{\mathcal{O}_l}(q_{l+1})$. Put $p_{l+1} := g_{l+1} q_{l+1}$. Then $p_{l+1} \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}_l \cap \mathsf{H}_0}$ and $d(\mathcal{O}_l, q_{l+1}) = d(p_l, p_{l+1})$. Thus we have constructed inductively $p_0, \ldots, p_k$ such that $d(\mathcal{O}_i, q_{i+1}) = d(p_i, p_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Let $\gamma_i : [0, \ell_i] \to \mathsf{H}_0$ be the geodesic parameterized by arc length connecting p_i with p_{i+1}, and let $\gamma : [0, \ell] \to \mathsf{H}_0$ with $\ell := \ell_0 + \ldots + \ell_{k-1}$ the composition of paths $\gamma_{k-1} \star \ldots \star \gamma_0$. Then ℓ is the length of γ and

$$\ell := d(p_0, p_1) + \ldots + d(p_{k-1}, p_k) = d(\mathcal{O}_0, q_1) + \ldots + d(\mathcal{O}_{k-1}, q_k) < r.$$

This implies that $p_k \in B_r(p)$ and finishes the proof that π is a submetry. Finally in Step 4 observe that π being a submetry implies that the topology induced by the metric $\overline{d}$ on X coincides with the quotient topology of π.

Step 5. Since the geodesic distance on H_0 is a length space metric and $\omega : \mathsf{H}_0 \to X$ a submetry, it follows by [BuBuIv, Prop. 1] that the metric $\overline{d}$ on X is a length space metric as well. Since a length space metric is determined by its values on an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the diagonal, it follows by Eq. (6.8) that $\overline{d}$ is independent of the particular choice of H_0 or the tubular neighborhoods $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and is uniquely determined Eq. (6.8).

Step 6. In this last step, we show that the metric $\bar{d}$ constructed using a choice of $\mathsf{H} \subset \mathsf{G}$ equals the one induced by G by means of formula (6.1), thereby proving that this indeed defines a metric, not just a semi-metric. Denote by $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}}$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{G}}$ the two (semi-)metrics constructed using H and G. Clearly we have that $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{G}} \leq \bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}}$. Suppose now that $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{G}} < \bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}}$. This means that there exists a connecting sequence $(\mathcal{O}_i, q_i),\ i = 0, \ldots, k$ in G such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d(q_i, \mathcal{O}_{i-1}) < \bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_k),$$

and $q_i \notin \mathsf{H}_0$ for some i. But by choosing balls around those points q_i, one constructs a different H', also Morita equivalent to G, such that $q_i \in \mathsf{H}'_0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. But then we have

$$\bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}'}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} d(q_i, \mathcal{O}_{i-1}).$$

It follows from step 5 that $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}} = \bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}'}$, contradicting the previous inequality, and therefore we see that $\bar{d}_{\mathsf{G}} = \bar{d}_{\mathsf{H}}$.

We have now the crucial ingredients for the

Proof of Thm. 6.1. (1) to (3) have been proven in Step 1 to Step 5.

(ad 4) By [BuBuIv, Prop. 1] again, X inherits from (G_0, d) the property of being complete. Hence if (G_0, d) is complete, then X is complete as well, and by the Theorem of Hopf–Rinow–Cohn–Vossen (cf. [BuBuIv, Thm. 2.5.28]) every closed bounded ball in X is compact.

(ad 5) According to [BuGrPe, p. 16] the property of being an Alexandrov space of Hausdorff dimension $\leq d$ is inherited by its quotient space under a submetry.

□

7. Triangulation of the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid

In this section we will show that the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid has a triangulation subordinate to the stratification by orbit types and then will derive some consequences from this.

Recall that a *simplicial complex* is a collection $\mathcal{K}$ of (closed) simplices in some $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that every face of a simplex of $\mathcal{K}$ is in $\mathcal{K}$ and such that the intersection of any two simplices of $\mathcal{K}$ is a face of each of them, see [Mun, §2]. A *triangulation* of a topological space X then consists of a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ together with a homeomorphism $\kappa : |\mathcal{K}| \to X$, where $|\mathcal{K}| := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}} \sigma$ is the underlying space of the simplicial complex. In case the topological space X carries a stratification $\mathcal{S}$, we say that a triangulation $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa)$ of X is *subordinate* to $\mathcal{S}$, if for each stratum S the preimage $\kappa^{-1}(\overline{S})$ is the underlying space of a simplicial subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}$, cf. [Gor, Sec. 3].

Theorem 7.1 ([Gor, 5. Proposition], [Ver, 3.7. Corollary])**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{S})$ be a controllable stratified space. Then there exists a triangulation $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa)$ of X which is compatible with $\mathcal{S}$.*

Since by Cor. 5.4 the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid is a controllable stratified space, the theorem immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 7.2. *Let X be the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid* G. *Then there exists a triangulation of X which is compatible with the stratification of X by orbit types.*

Recall now that by a *good covering* of a topological space X one understands an open covering $\mathcal{V}$ such that for every finite family $V_1, \cdots, V_k$ of elements of $\mathcal{V}$ the intersection $V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_k$ is either empty or contractible. It appears to be folklore that every triangulable topological space has a good open covering. Since we could not find a full proof in the literature, we present here an outline of the argument based on a remark by [BoTu, p. 190].

So assume to be given a topological space X with a triangulation $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa)$. Denote for every simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ by $\overline{\mathrm{St}}(\sigma)$ the *closed star* of σ, which is defined by

$$\overline{\mathrm{St}}(\sigma) := \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{K},\, \sigma \subseteq \tau} \kappa(\tau),$$

and by $\mathrm{St}(\sigma)$ the *open star* of σ, i.e. the interior of $\overline{\mathrm{St}}(\sigma)$. Let $\mathcal{K}_0$ be the set of all vertices or in other words 0-simplices of $\mathcal{K}$. The set of open stars $\mathrm{St}(v)$, $v \in \mathcal{K}_0$ then forms an open covering of X. Moreover, if $v_1, \ldots, v_k \mathcal{K}_0$ are finitely many pairwise distinct vertices such that

$$\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k) \neq \emptyset,$$

then $\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$ is contractible. Let us briefly provide a sketch of this. Let σ be the $(k-1)$-simplex from $\mathcal{K}$ having vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$. Let v be the barycenter of σ. Since the image of the interior of σ under κ lies in $\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$, the point $\kappa(v)$ is an element of $\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$. If now x is another point in $\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$, then $\kappa^{-1}(x)$ lies in a simplex $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$ which has $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ among its vertices. Since τ is convex, the straight line $\ell_{\kappa^{-1}(x),v}$ between $\kappa^{-1}(x)$ and v runs in τ, hence in $|\mathcal{K}|$. This implies that $\kappa(\ell_{\kappa^{-1}(x),v}) \subset \mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$, hence $\mathrm{St}(v_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{St}(v_k)$ is contractible to $\kappa(v)$. In other words this means that the open covering $\big(\mathrm{St}(v)\big)_{v \in \mathcal{K}_0}$ is a good covering of X.

If the topological space X with triangulation $(\mathcal{K}, \kappa)$ is even locally compact, the simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ has to be locally finite (cf. [Mun, Lemma 2.6]). Given an open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X, one can construct by iterated barycentric subdivison of $\mathcal{K}$ a simplicial complex $\mathcal{L}$ such that $|\mathcal{L}| = |\mathcal{K}|$ and such that for each simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ there exists an open set $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\kappa(\sigma) \subset U_\sigma$. After further subdivison one can even achieve that for each vertex $v \in \mathcal{L}_0$ there exists a $U_v \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\mathrm{St}(v) \subset \mathcal{U}$. By Cor. 7.2 and since orbit spaces of proper Lie groupoids are locally compact, one obtains

Proposition 7.3. *Let X be the orbit space of a proper Lie groupoid* G, *and $\mathcal{U}$ an open covering of X. Then there exists a locally finite good covering of X which is subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$.*

Remark 7.4. The existence of good coverings on the quotient space of a singular riemannian foliation on a compact manifold has been proven in Prop. 1 in [Wol] under the (implicitly made) assumption that for each closure of a leave L of the foliation there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that that any two closures of leaves in $B_\varepsilon(\overline{L})$ can be joint by an orthogonal geodesic contained in $B_\varepsilon(\overline{L})$. It is not clear to us whether every singular riemannian foliation satisfies this condition. Prop. 7.3 above is not dependent on such a condition and therefore appears to be a new result.

8. A de Rham theorem

In this section we shall prove a de Rham theorem for quotients of proper Lie groupoids in terms of *basic differential forms.* Let G be Lie groupoid, for the moment not necessarily proper. We denote by (A, ρ) its Lie algebroid, where $\rho : A \to T\mathsf{G}_0$ is the anchor map.

Definition 8.1. A differential form $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathsf{G}_0)$ is said to be *basic*, if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(B1) $\iota_{\rho(X)}\alpha = 0$, for all $X \in \Gamma^\infty(A)$.
(B2) α is (right) G-invariant.

Observe that since the image of the anchor point-wise spans $T\mathcal{O}$, the tangent spaces to the orbits of G, α defines a section of the dual of $N\mathcal{O}$ by $(B1)$, and therefore $(B2)$ makes sense. This definition is of course a straightforward generalization of the well-known concept of a basic form with respect to an action of a Lie group. In terms of the Lie algebroid, condition $(B2)$ above implies that $L_{\rho(X)}\alpha = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma^\infty(A)$, and when G is source-connected, this is in fact equivalent to $(B2)$. Using Cartan's formula, one observes that the de Rham differential on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathsf{G}_0)$ preserves the basic forms. We write $(\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}), d)$ for the complex of basic forms defined in this way. Its cohomology is called the *basic cohomology* of G, denoted $H^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 8.2. This definition of basic cohomology generalizes the following two well-known cases:

i) When G is the action groupoid of a Lie group action on a manifold, the definition above yields the basic cohomology of a quotient space, as in [Kos].

ii) A Lie groupoid G is a foliation groupoid when the anchor of its Lie algebroid is injective [MoMr]. In this case one finds the basic cohomology of the leaf space of the associated foliation, defined in [Rei].

Proposition 8.3. *A weak equivalence $f : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$f^* : (\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{H}), d) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}), d)\,,$$

and therefore an isomorphism on basic cohomology.

Proof. Clearly, any morphism of Lie groupoids $f : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$ induces by pullback a morphism $f^* : \Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{H}) \to \Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G})$ commuting with the de Rham differential. To see that this is an isomorphism when f is a weak equivalence, consider the space $M := \mathsf{H}_1 \times_{\mathsf{H}_0} \mathsf{G}_0$ appearing in condition (ES) of a weak equivalence as stated above. There are two obvious maps $pr_2 : M \to \mathsf{G}_0$ and $M \to \mathsf{H}_0$, and these are the so-called moment maps for actions of G and H on M. These actions are in fact biprincipal, meaning that $\mathsf{G}_1 \backslash M \cong \mathsf{G}_0$ and $M/\mathsf{H}_1 \cong \mathsf{H}_0$. (Such objects are also called *Morita bibundles.*) Now if we have a basic form $\beta \in \Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G})$, we can consider its pullback $pr_2^*\beta$ to M. Since f induces a morphism between the Lie algebroids of G and H, one can easily check that $pr_2^*\beta$ is basic with respect to the action of H. (The notion of a basic differential form with respect to an action of a Lie groupoid is a straightforward generalization of Definition 8.1 above.) Therefore, since the action of H is principal, this form descends to G_0, where it is, by construction, H-basic. These two maps are each others inverse, proving the claim. $\square$

Corollary 8.4. *Basic cohomology of Lie groupoids is Morita invariant.*

We now assume that G is proper, so that the quotient X is stratified with a smooth structure. Over X, there is an obvious sheafification of the basic differential forms, resulting in the sheaf $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}$ that assigns to each open set $U \subset X$ the space of basic differential forms $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}_{|\pi^{-1}(U)})$, where $\pi : \mathsf{G}_0 \to X$ is the canonical projection. Clearly, $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(X)$ is the space of basic differential forms on G_0 as discussed above. Remark that in degree zero, $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(X) = C^\infty(X)$.

Lemma 8.5 (Poincaré Lemma)**.** *Let α be a closed basic differential form on G_0. Then each $\mathcal{O} \in X$ has an open neighborhood U together with $\beta \in \Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(U)$ such that $\alpha = d\beta$.*

Proof. By Zung's theorem, combined with Proposition 3.7 and the Morita invariance of basic differential forms, cf. Proposition 8.3 above, it suffices to prove the statement for the quotient of an action of a compact Lie group. But this case is well-known, see e.g. [Pfl01b, §5.3] $\square$

Proposition 8.6. *The sheaf complex*

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\text{basic}} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{\text{basic}} \xrightarrow{d} \ldots$$

forms a fine resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$, the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The previous Poincaré Lemma shows that the sequence is exact. Clearly, each $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{basic}}$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{C}^\infty_X$-modules, and therefore fine. $\square$

Since by remark 2.10 the space X is locally contractible and locally compact, singular cohomology of X with values in $\mathbb{R}$ coincides with the sheaf cohomology of X with values in $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$. The proposition therefore entails

Corollary 8.7 (de Rham theorem)**.** *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet_{\text{sing}}(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}) \cong H^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Since the left hand side in the Corollary is the singular cohomology of a triangulable locally compact topological space by Cor. 7.2, it naturally coincides with Čech cohomology. Prop. 7.3 then implies our final result.

Corollary 8.8. *For a proper Lie groupoid G with compact quotient X, basic cohomology $H^\bullet_{\text{basic}}(\mathsf{G}, \mathbb{R})$ is finite dimensional.*

Appendix A. Ehresmann's Theorem for manifolds with boundary

A classical theorem by Ehresmann [Ehr] says that a proper surjective submersion between smooth manifolds is locally trivial. In this appendix, we present a generalized version of Ehresmann's Theorem, where the domain of the submersion under consideration is allowed to be a manifold with boundary. To our knowledge, this result, which we name Ehresmann's Theorem for manifolds with boundary, has been proved first in the diploma thesis of Gutfleisch [Gut]. Since this work might not be accessible to the reader, we present here a proof. Note that our proof is different to the one by Gutfleisch, and uses the local triviality theorem by Mather [Mat70].

Theorem A.1 (Ehresmann's Theorem for manifolds with boundary)**.** *Let M be a smooth manifold without boundary, N a smooth manifold with boundary, and $f : N \to M$ a proper surjective submersion such that the restriction $f_{|\partial N}$ is a submersion as well. Then f is locally trivial. Moreover, each fiber $F_p := f^{-1}(p)$, $p \in M$ is a compact manifold with boundary. Its boundary is given by $\partial F_p = \partial N \cap F_p$.*

Proof. First observe that the manifold with boundary N carries a canoncial stratification given by the decomposition into the interior N° and the boundary ∂N. Since $f_{|\partial N}$ is a submersion by assumption, and M a manifold without boundary, there exists a nowhere vanishing "inward pointing" smooth vector field $V : U \to TN$ on an open neighborhood U of ∂N in N such that $Tf \circ V = 0$ and $T_qN = T_q\partial N \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot V(q)$ for all $q \in \partial N$. Then there exists a continuous map $\varepsilon : \partial N \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and for each $q \in \partial N$ a uniquely determined smooth integral curve $\gamma_q : [0, \varepsilon(q)) \to U$ of V such $\gamma_q(0) = q$ for all $t \in [0, \varepsilon(q))$. Put $[0, \varepsilon) := \{(q, t) \in \partial N \times \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \mid t < \varepsilon(q)\}$ and

$$\mathsf{T}_{\partial N} := \{\gamma_q(t) \in U \mid q \in \partial N \,\&\, t \in [0, \varepsilon(q))\}.$$

Then

$$\gamma : [0, \varepsilon) \to \mathsf{T}_{\partial N},\ (q, t) \mapsto \gamma_q(t)$$

is a diffeomorphism, and we can define $\pi_{\partial N} : \mathsf{T}_{\partial N} \to \partial N$ and $\varrho_{\partial N} : \mathsf{T}_{\partial N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ as the uniquely determined smooth maps such that $(\pi_{\partial N}, \varrho_{\partial N})$ is the inverse of γ. By construction, $\partial N = \varrho_{\partial N}^{-1}(0)$, and $(\mathsf{T}_{\partial N}, \pi_{\partial N}, \varrho_{\partial N})$ is a smooth tube of ∂N in the stratified space N. Moreover, by the choice of V, one has that

$$f(\pi_{\partial N}(q)) = f(q) \text{ for all } q \in \mathsf{T}_{\partial N}\ .$$

Hence, $f : N \to M$ is a controlled submersion in the sense of Mather [Mat70, §9, p. 46]. Since by Mather [Mat70, Cor. 10.2] every proper surjective controlled submersion from a stratified space with smooth control data to a smooth manifold is locally trivial, the submersion f has to be locally trivial. The claim on the fibers is obvious by the assumptions on f. □

References

[AbCr] Abad, C. and M. Crainic: *Representations up to homotopy and Bott's spectral sequence for Lie groupoids.* `arXiv:0911.2859` (2009).

[Ber] Berstovskii, V.N.: *Submetries of space-forms of nonnegative curvature.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **28**, no. 4, 44–56 (1987).

[BoTu] Bott, R., and L.W. Tu: *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **82**, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin (1982).

[BuBuIv] Burago, Burago and Ivanov: *A Course in Metric Geometry.* AMS Graduate Studies in mathematics Vol. **33** (2001).

[BuGrPe] Burago, Y., M. Gromov and G. Perelmann: *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below.* Russian Math. Surveys **42**(2), 1–58 (1992).

[Cra] Crainic, M.: personal communication (2011).

[CrFe] Crainic, M. and R. Fernandes: *Integrability of Lie brackets.* Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003).

[CrSt] Crainic, M. and I. Struchiner: *On the linearization theorems for proper Lie groupoids*, `arXiv:1103.5245` (2011).

[Dra] Dragulete, O.: *Some applications of symmetries in differential geometry and dynamical systems*, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, PhD Thesis, (2007).

[DuZu] Dufour, J.-P. and N. T. Zung: *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. **242**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2005).

[DuKo] Duistermaat, J.J. and Kolk, J.A.C.: *Lie group*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, (2000).

[Ehr] Ehresmann, C.: *Sur les espaces fibrés différentiables*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **224**, 1611–1612 (1947).

[Fer] Fernandes, R.: *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes.* Adv. Math. **170**, no. 1, (2002).

[GGHR] E. Gallego, L. Gualandri, G. Hector, and A. Reventos. Groupoïdes riemanniens. Publ. Mat. **33** (1989), no. 3, 417–422.

[Gli] Glickenstein, D.: *Riemannian groupoids and solitons for three-dimensional homogeneous Ricci and cross-curvature flows.* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 12

[GoSa] J.A.N. González and J.B.S. de Salas, *$\mathcal{C}^\infty$-Differentiable Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **824**, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[Gor] Goresky, R.M.: *Triangulation of Stratified Sets.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, Nr. 1, 193–200 (1980).

[Gro] Gromov, M.: *Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces*, Progress in Mathematics Vol. **152**, Birkhäuser (1998).

[Gut] Gutfleisch, M.: *Stratifizierte Räume und Qutienten in der Analysis*, Diploma Thesis, University of Munich, February 1995.

[Kan] Kankaanrinta, M.: *Equivariant collaring, tubular neighborhood and gluing theorems for proper Lie group actions.* Algebraic & Geometric Topology **7**, 1–27 (2007).

[Kli] Klingenberg, W.: *Riemannian Geometry* Walter de Gruyter Publisher, (1982).

[Kos] Koszul, J. L. Sur certains groupes de transformations de Lie. In: *Géométrie différentielle.* Colloques Internationaux du CNRS, Strasbourg, 1953, pp. 137?141. CNRS, Paris, 1953.

[Mat70] Mather, J.N.: *Notes on topological stability*, Mimeographed Lecture Notes, Harvard, (1970).

[Mat73] Mather, J.N.: *Stratifications and mappings*, Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971), pp. 195–232. Academic Press, New York, (1973).

[MoMr] Moerdijk, I. and Mrčun, J.: *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **91**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).

[Mol1] Molino, P.: *Riemannian foliations*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 73, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1988, Translated from the French by Grant Cairns, With appendices by Cairns, Y. Carrière, É. Ghys, E. Salem and V. Sergiescu.

[Mol2] Molino, P.: *Orbit-like foliations*, Geometric study of foliations (Tokyo, 1993), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (1994).

[Mun] Munkres, J.R.: *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, Perseus Publishing, Cambridge, MA (1984).

[Pfl01a] Pflaum, M.: *Analytic and geometric study of stratified spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1768**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001).

[Pfl01b] Pflaum, M.: *Smooth structures on stratified spaces*, Quantization of singular symplectic quotients, 231–258, Progr. Math., **198**, Birkhüser, Basel, (2001).

[Rei] Reinhart, B. L. Harmonic integrals on foliated manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* **81** 1959 529?536.

[Schw72] Schwarz, G.W.: *Generalized Orbit Spaces*, PhD Thesis (1972).

[Schw75] Schwarz, G.W.: *Smooth functions invariant under the action of a compact Lie group*, Topology **14** (1975), 63–68.

[Ste] Stefan, P.: *Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 699–713.

[Sus] Sussmann, H.J.: *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **180** (1973), 171–188.

[Tu] Tu, J.L.: *La conjecture de Novikov pour les feuilletages hyperboliques.* K-theory **16** (1999) p. 129–184.

[Ver] Verona, A.: *Triangulation of Stratified Fibre Bundles.* manuscripta math. **30**, 425–445 (1980).

[Wei99] Weinstein, A.: *Linearization problems for Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids*, Conférence Moshé Flato (1999), Vol. II (Dijon), 333–341, Math. Phys. Stud., **22**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (2000).

[Wei02] Weinstein, A.: *Linearization of regular proper groupoids*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **1**, no. 3, 493–511, (2002).

[Wol] Wolak, R.A.: *Basic Cohomology for Singular Riemannian Foliations*, Mh. Math. **128**, 159–163 (1999).

[Zun] Zung, N.T.: *Proper groupoids and momentum maps: linearization, affinity, and convexity*, Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. (4) **39**, no. 5, 841–869, (2006).

Markus J. Pflaum, `markus.pflaum@colorado.edu`
Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, USA
Hessel Posthuma, `H.B.Posthuma@uva.nl`
Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Xiang Tang, `xtang@math.wustl.edu`
Department of Mathematics, Washington University, St. Louis, USA